

Big gamma-glutamyltransferase is associated with epicardial fat volume and cardiovascular outcome in the general population

Alberto Aimo (1,2*, Sara Chiappino^{1,2}, Aldo Paolicchi³, Daniele Della Latta², Nicola Martini², Alberto Clemente², Veronica Musetti¹, Silvia Masotti¹, Giorgia Panichella¹, Valeria Piagneri², Simona Storti², Angelo Monteleone², Claudio Passino (1,2, Dante Chiappino², Maria Franzini³, and Michele Emdin^{1,2}

¹Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Piazza Martiri della Libertà 33, 56124 Pisa, Italy; ²Fondazione Toscana Gabriele Monasterio, Pisa and Massa, Italy; and ³Department of Translational Research on New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Received 29 April 2021; revised 8 August 2021; editorial decision 30 November 2021; accepted 1 December 2021; online publish-ahead-of-print 20 December 2021

Aims	Gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) has been recognized as a cardiovascular risk factor, and its highest molecular weight fraction [big GGT (b-GGT)] is found in vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. We explored the relationship between b-GGT, computed tomography findings, and long-term outcomes in the general population.
Methods and results	Between May 2010 and October 2011, subjects aged 45–75 years living in a Tuscan city and without known cardiac disease were screened. The primary endpoint was a composite of cardiovascular death or acute coronary syndrome requiring urgent coronary revascularization. Gamma-glutamyltransferase fractions were available in 898 subjects [median age 65 years (25th–75th percentile 55–70), 46% men]. Median plasma GGT was 20 IU (15–29), and b-GGT was 2.28 (1.28–4.17). Coronary artery calcium (CAC) score values were 0 (0–60), and the volume of proatherogenic epicardial fat was 155 mL (114–204). In a model including age, sex, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, current or previous smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, b-GGT independently predicted epicardial fat volume (EFV) (r = 0.162, P < 0.001), but not CAC (P = 0.198). Over a 10.3-year follow-up (9.6–10.8), 27 subjects (3%) experienced the primary endpoint. We evaluated couples of variables including b-GGT and a cardiovascular risk factor, CAC or EFV. Big GGT yielded independent prognostic significance from age, LDL cholesterol, current or previous smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, but not CAC or EFV. Conversely, GGT predicted the primary endpoint even independently from CAC and EFV.
Conclusion	Big GGT seemed at least as predictive as the commonly available GGT assay; therefore, the need for b-GGT ra- ther than GGT measurement should be carefully examined.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +39 3477084391, Email: a.aimo@santannapisa.it, aimoalb@ftgm.it

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2021. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.



Graphical Abstract Big gamma-glutamyltransferase (b-GGT) and cardiovascular risk in the general population. b-GGT deriving from the bloodstream or released by macrophages (CD68+ cells) promotes oxidative stress within the plaque. This ultimately leads to plaque destabilization. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CV, cardiovascular. Modified with permission from Emdin et *al.*, 2005.²⁶

Keywords

GGT • Primary prevention • Cardiovascular risk • Atherosclerotic plaques • Coronary artery calcium • Epicardial fat

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the first cause of mortality in industrialized countries and often manifests with myocardial infarction (MI) or cardiac death.¹ The risk of cardiovascular events in asymptomatic individuals is generally evaluated through prediction models relying on the integrated assessment of traditional risk factors, such as age, gender, systolic blood pressure, and smoking status in the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Score charts.² Nevertheless, these risk factors have been estimated to predict just up to 65% of events.³ This justifies the search for additional elements for prognostic stratification, including laboratory biomarkers or imaging indicators. Among the latter, the extent of coronary artery calcium (CAC) by computed tomography (CT) has been proposed as a risk modifier,² given its additive value over established cardiovascular risk factors.^{4,5} The CAC score correlates with the coronary plaque burden⁶ but is not an indicator of plaque inflammation and instability.⁷ Furthermore, the amount of adipose tissue within the pericardium might provide a link between metabolic dysregulation and vascular wall inflammation,^{8,9} since the epicardial fat releases cytokines with a paracrine pro-atherogenic effect on the coronary arteries.^{10,11} Indeed, epicardial fat volume (EFV) is associated with cardiovascular risk factors,¹² coronary calcifications,^{13,14} and is predictive of significant coronary artery stenoses,¹⁵ and major acute cardiac events.¹⁶

Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) is an enzyme located on the external surface of membranes of all cells except for erythrocytes.¹⁷ Serum GGT activity is associated with body mass index, alcohol intake, smoking habit, cholesterol and triglyceride levels, hypertension, and diabetes. Nevertheless, higher GGT levels, even within the reference range, predict cardiovascular risk regardless of the conventional cardiovascular risk factors and alcohol consumption, and beyond current risk charts.^{18–23} Under this respect, GGT has been proposed as a risk factor for plaque destabilization.²⁴ Indeed, GGT catalyzes the extracellular oxidation of glutathione, the main intracellular antioxidant in mammals; the cysteinyl-glycine residue from glutathione hydrolysis then triggers the iron-dependent production of free radicals and induces lipoprotein oxidation.²⁵ Gamma-glutamyltransferase has been found within atherosclerotic plaques, where it likely promotes the oxidation of low-density lipoproteins (LDLs).^{24,26}

Four fractions of GGT have been identified in the plasma: big-GGT (b-GGT, MW >2000 kDa), medium-GGT (m-GGT, MW 940 kDa), small-GGT (s-GGT, MW 200 kDa), and free-GGT (f-GGT, MW 70 kDa).^{27–29} Circulating b-GGT levels correlate with several cardio-vascular risk factors, including body mass index, systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.³⁰ Big GGT is carried by membrane vesicles similar to exosomes,³¹ and only this fraction has been identified into the atherosclerotic plaque.³² Big GGT levels into the plaque correlate with the plasma b-GGT and macrophage infiltrates, which are a histologic marker of plaque vulnerability.³³

In this study, we investigated for the first time whether plasma b-GGT predicts the extent of CAC and EFV, as an emerging marker of plaque instability, as well as cardiovascular outcomes, in a cohort of subjects from the general population with a 10-year follow-up.

Methods

Study cohort

The present study was carried out among participants of the Montignoso Heart and Lung Project. Montignoso is a small city in Tuscany, Italy, with about 10 000 inhabitants. Between May 2010 and October 2011, all subjects aged between 45 and 75 years were invited to participate to a population screening. Among respondents (n = 1672, 52% of those invited), we enrolled subjects free from known cardiac disease and without symptoms of cardiovascular disease (such as angina or intermittent claudication), without known pulmonary disorders and no evidence of active neoplasia, a life expectancy longer than 1 year, and able to express informed consent. The final study population included 1382 subjects. A team composed of a cardiologist and a pneumologist interviewed these patients using a standardized form focused on prior evidence of cardiac or lung disease and cardiovascular risk factors, as previously diagnosed. The risk of cardiovascular events was estimated using the Framingham Risk Score for Hard Coronary Heart Disease.³⁴ Study participants also underwent a non-contrast CT chest scan. This analysis was performed on the subset of patients with quantified total GGT and GGT fractions (n = 898, 65%). The study conformed to the Helsinki declaration and was approved by the Institutional Review board. All patients gave written informed consent.

Computed tomography scan

All patients underwent a low-dose (120 KV, 60 mA) CT scan by a 64 detectors scanner (Aquilion 64, Toshiba Medical Systems, Otawara,

Japan). Prospective electrocardiographic triggering (set at 50% of the expected next RR interval) in sequential slice mode was used, with 0.5 mm collimation thickness and 0.5 mm thick transverse images. The total estimated dosimetry was 2-3 mSv. The CAC score was calculated through the Agatston method using a densitometric program (Vitrea 2.0, Vital Images Inc., Minnetonka, MN, USA). Coronary artery disease severity was graded based on CAC score values: 0, no evidence of CAD; 1-10, minimal CAD; 11–100, mild CAD; 101–400, moderate CAD; and >400, severe CAD.³⁵ The EFV was measured using a semi-automatic software. Cardiac annotations were realized placing point along the heart border on the central axial slice and generating a closed line, interpolated with a spline function of order 3. The contour extracted was then automatically reproduced on the other axial slices to include the heart, if present, in the delimited region of interest (ROI). The shape of the final generated cardiac contours could be manually modified if some portions of the heart were excluded by the segmentation, translating the ROI or creating new points. The references about the epicardial fat were finally represented by all the pixels contained in the cardiac ROI and with Hounsfield Unit values between -190 and $-30.^{36}$ The CT scans were read by experienced operators blinded to all other subject information.

Laboratory evaluation

Blood samples were drawn in the morning after overnight fasting. The operators who analysed the blood samples were blinded to all other patient data. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was estimated using the Friedwald formula, which could be used in all cases as no subject had triglyceride levels ≥400 mg/dL.³⁷ Analysis of total and fractional GGT activity was performed on plasma samples using a fast protein-liquid chromatography system (AKTA purifier, GE Healthcare Europe, Milan, Italy) fitted out with a gel-filtration column (Superose 6 HR 10/300 GL; GE Healthcare Europe) and a fluorescent detector (Jasco FP-2020; Jasco Europe, Lecco, Italy). Before injection, plasma samples were filtered through a 0.45 μ m PVDF filter (Millipore), and each injection was carried out with 0.02 mL of filtered sample. Separation of GGT fractions was obtained by gel-filtration chromatography and the enzymatic activity was determined by post-column injection of the fluorescent substrate specific for the GGT, gamma-glutamyl-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (γ GluAMC). The enzymatic reaction, in the presence of γ GluAMC and glycylglycine as acceptor of transpeptidation reaction, proceeds for 4.5 min in a reaction coil (PFA, 2.6 mL) kept at the 37°C in a water bath. The AMC signal was detected by the fluorescence detector operating at excitation/emission wavelengths of 380/440 nm; the intensity of the fluorescence signal was expressed in arbitrary fluorescence units. Under these reaction conditions, the area under the curve is proportional to total GGT activity, while the area under each single peak is proportional to the corresponding GGT fraction activity. The detection limit of the method is 0.167 U/L and the determination limit is 0.5 U/L; within-day and between-day coefficient of variations are 3% and 2%, respectively. About 50 min was necessary to evaluate the enzyme activity in a single sample. A computer programme (Version 7 MATLAB MathWorks, Inc.) was used to quantify total and fractional GGT activity and to resolve the overlap of the resulting chromatograms. The sum of fractional GGT activity represents on average the 99% of total GGT activity. As stated above, the following GGT fractions were identified: b-GGT (MW >2000 kDa), m-GGT (MW 940 kDa), s-GGT (MW 200 kDa), and f-GGT (MW 70 kDa).^{27,30}

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula.³² N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) was measured through the ECLIA assay (Elecsys 2010 analyser, Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

Follow-up

Study participants were managed according to contemporary guidelines for primary cardiovascular prevention.^{2,33} In February 2021, information on all-cause mortality was retrieved from healthcare administrative records. These data were integrated with institutional health records and phone calls to patients, parents, or general practitioners. The attribution of cardiovascular death required documentation of significant arrhythmias or cardiac arrest, or death attributable to heart failure, MI or pulmonary embolism in the absence of any other precipitating factor. The primary endpoint was a composite of cardiovascular death or acute coronary syndrome (ACS) requiring urgent coronary revascularization; it could be ascertained in 888 patients out of 898 (99%) because of missing data on the cause of death in 10 patients. The secondary endpoints were: cardiovascular death or any coronary revascularization (i.e. either elective or urgent); cardiovascular death; and all-cause death.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 22, 2013) and R (http://www.r-project.org/, version 3.2.3, 2015). All patients had baseline clinical, laboratory, and CT data. Normal distribution was evaluated through the Shapiro-Wilk test. As all variables had a nonnormal distribution, they were reported as median and 25th-75th percentile. Mean differences among groups were evaluated through the Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance. Discrete variables were compared by the χ^2 test with Yates correction. The strength of correlations between couples of variables was quantified through the Spearman's rho index. Multivariate linear regression analysis was performed to search for predictors of In-transformed CAC and EFV; the model included b-GGT or total GGT (both In-transformed) and several cardiovascular risk factors (age, sex, LDL cholesterol, current or previous smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and obesity). Cubic spline interpolation was carried out to represent the changes in risk according to biomarker values; five knots were considered. The biomarker value for which the relative hazard ratio (HR) = 1 was chosen as the value corresponding to the inflection point of the curve, above which the slope of the curve becomes steeper. Predictors of the primary and secondary endpoints were searched among baseline variables through bivariate Cox regression analysis (to account for the small number of events, in agreement with the 'one in ten' rule). Multicollinearity was searched by calculating the variance inflation factor, with a conservative threshold of 3. Pvalues <0.05 were considered as significant.

Results

Population characteristics

Study participants (n = 898, 65%) displayed limited differences from the other individuals evaluated as part of the Montignoso project (n = 484, 35%; Supplementary material online, *Table S1*). Study participants had a median age of 65 years (25th–75th percentile: 55–70), and 46% of them were males. They had normal left ventricular ejection fraction [60% (55–60)] and preserved renal function [eGFR 91 mL/min/1.73 m² (79–100)]; 41% had hypertension, 27% obesity, 11% diabetes, and 32% were current or previous smokers. Ferritin was 89 µg/L (50–155), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein 0.2 mg/dL (0.1–0.4), and NT-proBNP 60 ng/L (35–109). Furthermore, LDL cholesterol was 130 mg/dL (108–154), and triglycerides 92 mg/dL (67–130).

Median plasma GGT was 20 IU (15–29), and b-GGT was 2.28 (1.28–4.17). A moderate degree of correlation between In-

Table I Patient characteristics

Age (years) 65 (55–70) Men, n (%) 416 (46) BMI (kg/m²) 27 (25–31) Obesity, n (%) 240 (27) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) 91 (79–100) CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60)
Age (years) 63 (35-70) Men, n (%) 416 (46) BMI (kg/m²) 27 (25-31) Obesity, n (%) 240 (27) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) 91 (79-100) CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13-15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50-155) LVEF (%) 60 (55-60) NT ever BND (cor(l)) (25, 100)
Priet, <i>H</i> (%) 416 (46) BMI (kg/m ²) 27 (25–31) Obesity, <i>n</i> (%) 240 (27) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) 91 (79–100) CKD stages 3/4/5, <i>n</i> (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT are BND (coll) (25, 100)
Drin (kg/m) 27 (23-31) Obesity, n (%) 240 (27) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) 91 (79-100) CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13-15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50-155) LVEF (%) 60 (55-60) NT ene DND (cof(L)) (20 25 400)
Obesity, n (%) 240 (27) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) 91 (79–100) CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT ener BND (coll) (20 25 400)
eGFR (mL/min/1./3 m ⁻) 91 (79–100) CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT= are RND (coll) (25, 100)
CKD stages 3/4/5, n (%) 38/2/0 (4/0/0) Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (μg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT= are DND (coll) (25, 100)
Haemoglobin (g/dL) 14 (13–15) Ferritin (μg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT = xx2 BND (x = (l.)) 60 (25 ± 400)
Ferritin (µg/L) 89 (50–155) LVEF (%) 60 (55–60) NT are DND (cod()) 60 (25, 100)
LVEF (%) 60 (55–60)
NT = 0.00 (25 100)
101-probine (ng/L) 60 (35-109)
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%) 369 (41)
Fasting glycaemia (mg/dL) 100 (92–111)
Diabetes, n (%) 100 (11)
Current smoker, <i>n</i> (%) 133 (15)
Previous smoker, <i>n</i> (%) 246 (27)
hs-CRP (mg/dL) 0.2 (0.1–0.4)
Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 214 (187–242)
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) 130 (108–154)
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) 60 (50–71)
TG (mg/dL) 92 (67–130)
AST (IU/L) 21 (18–25)
ALT (IU/L) 21 (16–27)
ALP (IU/L) 55 (46–67)
Total bilirubin (mg/dL) 0.8 (0.6–1.0)
Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) 0.1 (0.1–0.1)
Aspirin, <i>n</i> (%) 73 (8)
Statin, <i>n</i> (%) 118 (13)
GGT (IU/L) 20 (15–29)
b-GGT (IU/L) 2.28 (1.28–4.17)
m-GGT (IU/L) 0.56 (0.24–1.07)
s-GGT (IU/L) 5.54 (3.42–10.78)
f-GGT (IU/L) 10.58 (8.46–13.71)
CAC score 0 (0–60)
CAC = 0 460 (51)
CAC 1–99 258 (29)
CAC 100–399 101 (11)
CAC > 400 79 (9)
EFV (ml.) 155 (114_204)

Values are given as median (25th–75th percentile) or absolute n (%). Gamma glutamyltransferase (GGT) fractions of plasma activity: b-GGT, big; f-GGT, free; m-GGT, medium; s-GGT, small.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CAC, coronary artery calcium; EFV, epicardial fat volume; HDL, high-density lipoproteins; LDL, low-density lipoproteins; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; TG, triglycerides.

transformed values of GGT and b-GGT was observed (rho 0.763, P < 0.001; Supplementary material online, *Table S2*). Coronary artery calcium score values were 0 (0–60), with 51% of subjects having CAC = 0, 11% having CAC 100–399, and 9% having CAC \geq 400. Finally, EFV was 155 mL (114–204) (*Table 1*). Among patients without obesity or diabetes (n = 595, 66%), the correlation between total and

	Variable p	redicted: EFV	Variable predicted: CAC		
	Exp(B)	P-value	Exp(B)	P-value	
b-GGT	0.162	<0.001		0.198	
Age	0.247	<0.001	0.408		
Male sex	0.276	<0.001	0.266	<0.001	
LDL cholesterol	—	0.465	—	0.085	
Current or previous smoker	—	0.708	0.074	0.028	
Hypertension	0.091	0.015	0.090	0.010	
Diabetes	—	0.103	0.112	0.001	
Obesity	0.335	<0.001	—	0.777	

 Table 2
 Big-gamma-glutamyltransferase (b-GGT), epicardial fat volume (EFV), and coronary artery calcium (CAC):

 multivariable linear regression analysis

b-GGT, EFV, and CAC values were In-transformed.

LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

b-GGT was virtually identical (rho 0.793, P < 0.001), the distribution of CAC values was similar (CAC = 0, 54%; CAC 100–399, 10%; CAC \geq 400, 8%), and EFV was 134 mL (104–183).

Big gamma-glutamyltransferase and computed tomography findings

Ln(b-GGT) independently predicted ln(EFV) in a model including age, sex, LDL cholesterol, current or previous smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and obesity (r = 0.162, P < 0.001), while it did not independently predict ln(CAC) (P = 0.198; *Table 2*). Similar results were found for total GGT (Supplementary material online, *Table S3*).

Big gamma-glutamyltransferase and outcome

Over a 10.3-year follow-up (9.6–10.8), 27 subjects out of 888 (3%) experienced the primary endpoint (cardiovascular death or ACS), 36 out of 888 (4%) died for cardiovascular causes or underwent coronary revascularization, 16 out of 888 (2%) died for cardiovascular causes, and 74 out of 898 (8%) died for any cause. The risk of all endpoints increased quite steeply with b-GGT values, with cut-off values for the different endpoints ranging around 3 (*Figure 1*). The risk increased in parallel with GGT as well (Supplementary material online, *Figure S1*).

We then evaluated couples of variables including b-GGT and a cardiovascular risk factor, CAC or EFV. For the prediction of the primary endpoint, b-GGT yielded independent prognostic significance from age, LDL cholesterol, current or previous smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and obesity, but not CAC or EFV (*Table 3*). Conversely, GGT predicted the primary endpoint even independently from CAC and EFV (Supplementary material online, *Table S4*).

Discussion

Both epicardial fat and plaque b-GGT have been associated with plaque inflammation and risk of acute plaque changes. We report for the first time that plasma b-GGT predicts the amount of epicardial fat and displays a relationship with the risk of cardiovascular death or ACS, also independently from many cardiovascular risk factors, although not from the extent of coronary artery calcifications. Big GGT and total GGT are correlated and show a broadly similar predictive value for CT findings and outcomes.

The CAC score has emerged as a widely available, consistent, and reproducible means of assessing risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in asymptomatic people with no known CAD for planning primary prevention interventions such as statins and aspirin.^{38,39} Several studies have demonstrated CAC efficiency in predicting cardiovascular events beyond traditional risk factors.^{40–44} A higher CAC score correlates with a major plaque burden^{6,45} and a higher risk,⁴⁶ although it is not useful to assess plaque inflammation and vulnerability,^{47,48} as it is likely associated with the plaque evolution towards the stability with a fibrocalcific cap formation.⁴⁹

The epicardial fat physiologically has biochemical, mechanical, and thermogenic cardioprotective properties,⁵⁰ although genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors may drive the shift towards a dysfunctional phenotype characterized by a pro-inflammatory and profibrotic phenotype⁵¹ contributing to the progression of atherosclerotic plaques. Therefore, the epicardial fat has recently emerged as a novel cardiovascular risk marker. A greater EFV predicts the risk of $\mathsf{CAD}^{15,52,53}$ and has been associated with CAD risk factors 13,54 and with plaque size and composition,¹¹ a relationship between EFV and different features of plaque vulnerability, such as thin-cap of the fibroatheromas and high percentage of necrosis has been demonstrated.⁵¹ Sahasrabuddhe et al.⁵⁵ investigated epicardial gene expression in a case-control study of patients with CAD undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery and patients operated for heart valve disease confirming histologically the findings from previous studies that have investigated the association between dysfunctional epicardial fat secretome and the risk of CAD. Intensive statin treatment has been described to reduce EFV independently of the degree of lipid lowering, suggesting that the anti-inflammatory pleiotropic activities of statins might have a role in reducing plaque vulnerability also through a reduction in EFV. In a large meta-analysis 41 534 subjects, the authors demonstrated that in low-to-intermediate cardiovascular risk subjects, EFV was independently associated with coronary artery stenosis, myocardial ischaemia, and major adverse cardiac events.



Figure I Big gamma-glutamyltransferase and outcome: spline curve analysis. The relationship between big gamma-glutamyltransferase and outcomes is represented through spline curves, which report the risk of outcomes according to circulating big gamma-glutamyltransferase levels. The inflection points of the curves, i.e. the big gamma-glutamyltransferase values above which the risk increases more steeply, are specified. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CV, cardiovascular.

The authors concluded that EFV and CAC can be both imaging biomarkers of CAD, and consequently, they are associated with each other in crude analysis but probably refer to different and complementary aspects of the disease.⁵⁶

Numerous studies confirmed the role of GGT as a cardiovascular risk factor, as discussed above. Big GGT is the only GGT fraction found in plaques, and plaque b-GGT correlated with plaque cholesterol content and plasma b-GGT fraction.³² Moreover, higher b-GGT activity was found in thin-cap fibroatheromas and this correlated with histologic indices of plaque instability such as larger necrotic zone, higher cholesterol content, and greater macrophage infiltration.^{32,33} In our cohort, circulating b-GGT (as well as total

GGT) predicted EFV, which is a marker and possibly a causative factor of plaque inflammation. In turn, plaque inflammation increases the risk of acute plaque changes, manifesting as ACS.

The evidence of a relationship between b-GGT and cardiovascular outcomes support the existence of an interplay between plasma b-GGT activity, plaque inflammation and risk of ACS resulting from plaque destabilization. Big GGT has some potential to become a novel tool to refine risk prediction in the general population, beyond traditional cardiovascular risk factors,² allowing a more tailored management that may include the start of an antiplatelet therapy, lower LDL cholesterol targets, or a closer follow-up. Nonetheless, some crucial aspects remain to be clarified. First, GGT or b-GGT measurement

	CV death or ACS (n = 27)		CV death or coronary revascularization (n = 36)		CV death (<i>n</i> = 16)		All-cause death (n = 74)	
	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)
b-GGT	0.029	1.57 (1.05–2.35)	0.092	_	0.022	1.83 (1.09–3.06)	0.145	_
Age	0.835		0.030	1.05 (1.01–1.10)	0.014	1.10 (1.02–1.19)	<0.001	1.11 (1.07–1.15)
b-GGT	0.059		0.351		0.086		0.909	_
Male sex	0.112	—	0.022	2.26 (1.12–4.55)	0.545	_	0.056	—
b-GGT	0.038	1.55 (1.02–2.34)	0.218		0.059	_	0.431	—
LDL cholesterol	0.257	_	0.254		0.941	_	0.010	0.99 (0.98–1.00)
b-GGT	0.039	1.53 (1.02–2.28)	0.219		0.076	_	0.882	—
Current or previous smoker	0.253		0.386		0.581		0.009	1.85 (1.16–2.93)
b-GGT	0.032	1.55 (1.04–2.33)	0.280		0.087	_		
Hypertension	0.851	_	0.052		0.293	_		
b-GGT	0.032	1.54 (1.04–2.29)	0.246		0.089	—	0.808	—
Diabetes	0.436		0.046	2.23 (1.01–4.92)	0.110	—	<0.001	2.60 (1.52–4.42)
b-GGT	0.001	2.13 (1.35–3.35)	0.009	1.70 (1.14–2.53)	0.042	1.84 (1.02–3.33)	0.966	—
Obesity	0.176	_	0.210		0.072	_	0.075	—
b-GGT	0.086	_	0.457		0.093	_	0.954	_
CAC	0.002	1.30 (1.10–1.55)	< 0.001	1.49 (1.28–1.74)	0.053	_	<0.001	1.24 (1.13–1.36)
b-GGT	0.168	—	0.804	—	0.150	_	0.475	_
EFV	0.071	_	0.023	3.13 (1.18–8.33)	0.321	—	0.537	_

Table 3 Big-gamma-glutamyltransferase (b-GGT) for outcome prediction: bivariate Cox regression analysis

Values of b-GGT, coronary artery calcium (CAC), and epicardial fat volume (EFV) were In-transformed.

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; HR, hazard ratio; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

could be particularly useful in a subgroup of individuals from the general population, but our cohort was too small to allow reliable subgroup analyses. Second, the present study focused specifically on b-GGT, but this last seemed at least as predictive as the commonly available GGT assay; therefore, the need for b-GGT rather than GGT measurement should be carefully examined. Third, the costeffectiveness of adding b-GGT or GGT measurement to the standard evaluation of cardiovascular risk should be formally evaluated, although reliable estimates of cost-effectiveness of these strategies are challenging.² Another possible perspective for future research is the assessment of dynamic changes of b-GGT or GGT over time and also in response to interventions such as intensive lipid lowering or smoking cessation, as well as the prognostic impact of changes in GGT or b-GGT.

In addition to these points, several limitations of this hypothesisgenerating study must be acknowledged. We evaluated a relatively small cohort, albeit by far the largest cohort with GGT fractions dosed. The number of events over a 10-year follow-up was limited, reflecting a good prognosis of subjects from this general population. The small number of events obliged us to perform multiple bivariate analyses to meet the 'one in ten' rule, with a risk of false positives due to multiple comparisons; further analyses on the prognostic value of b-GGT and GGT are then warranted. GGT values were available from 65% of subjects from the whole cohort because of organizational issues, but a selection bias can be excluded because of the random nature of these issues. Gamma-glutamyltransferase and CT findings were evaluated at a single timepoint, therefore their evolution over time could not be assessed. In conclusion, we report for the first time that plasma b-GGT activity, a putative index of plaque vulnerability, is associated with the volume of pro-atherogenic epicardial fat and holds some prognostic significance for cardiovascular death or ACS in the general population.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Journal of Preventive Cardiology online.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, Delling FN, Djousse L, Elkind MSV, Ferguson JF, Fornage M, Khan SS, Kissela BM, Knutson KL, Kwan TW, Lackland DT, Lewis TT, Lichtman JH, Longenecker CT, Loop MS, Lutsey PL, Martin SS, Matsushita K, Moran AE, Mussolino ME, Perak AM, Rosamond WD, Roth GA, Sampson UKA, Satou GM, Schroeder EB, Shah SH, Shay CM, Spartano NL, Stokes A, Tirschwell DL, VanWagner LB, Tsao CW; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2020 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2020;**141**:e139–e596.
- 2. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, Cooney M-T, Corrà U, Cosyns B, Deaton C, Graham I, Hall MS, Hobbs FDR, Løchen M-L, Löllgen H, Marques-Vidal P, Perk J, Prescott E, Redon J, Richter DJ, Sattar N, Smulders Y, Tiberi M, van der Worp HB, van Dis I, Verschuren WMM, Binno S; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: the Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts)Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:2315–2381.

- Raggi P. Coronary-calcium screening to improve risk stratification in primary prevention. J Louis State Med Soc 2002;154:314–318.
- 4. Lakoski SG, Greenland P, Wong ND, Schreiner PJ, Herrington DM, Kronmal RA, Liu K, Blumenthal RS. Coronary artery calcium scores and risk for cardiovascular events in women classified as "low risk" based on Framingham risk score: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Arch Int Med 2007;167:2437–2442.
- Rozanski A, Gransar H, Wong ND, Shaw LJ, Miranda-Peats R, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, Berman DS. Use of coronary calcium scanning for predicting inducible myocardial ischemia: influence of patients' clinical presentation. J Nucl Cardiol 2007;14:669–679.
- Tinana A, Mintz GS, Weissman NJ. Volumetric intravascular ultrasound quantification of the amount of atherosclerosis and calcium in nonstenotic arterial segments. Am J Cardiol 2002;89:757–760.
- Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, Farb A, Weber D, Virmani R. Morphological predictors of arterial remodeling in coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2002;**105**:297–303.
- Antonopoulos AS, Margaritis M, Coutinho P, Shirodaria C, Psarros C, Herdman L, Sanna F, De Silva R, Petrou M, Sayeed R, Krasopoulos G, Lee R, Digby J, Reilly S, Bakogiannis C, Tousoulis D, Kessler B, Casadei B, Channon KM, Antoniades C. Adiponectin as a link between type 2 diabetes and vascular NADPH oxidase activity in the human arterial wall: the regulatory role of perivascular adipose tissue. *Diabetes* 2015;**64**:2207–2219.
- Margaritis M, Antonopoulos AS, Digby J, Lee R, Reilly S, Coutinho P, Shirodaria C, Sayeed R, Petrou M, De Silva R, Jalilzadeh S, Demosthenous M, Bakogiannis C, Tousoulis D, Stefanadis C, Choudhury RP, Casadei B, Channon KM, Antoniades C. Interactions between vascular wall and perivascular adipose tissue reveal novel roles for adiponectin in the regulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase function in human vessels. *Circulation* 2013;**127**:2209–2221.
- Mazurek T, Zhang L, Zalewski A, Mannion JD, Diehl JT, Arafat H, Sarov-Blat L, O'Brien S, Keiper EA, Johnson AG, Martin J, Goldstein BJ, Shi Y. Human epicardial adipose tissue is a source of inflammatory mediators. *Circulation* 2003;**108**: 2460–2466.
- Verhagen SN, Vink A, van der Graaf Y, Visseren FLJ. Coronary perivascular adipose tissue characteristics are related to atherosclerotic plaque size and composition. A post-mortem study. *Atherosclerosis* 2012;**225**:99–104.
- Mahabadi AA, Massaro JM, Rosito GA, Levy D, Murabito JM, Wolf PA, O'Donnell CJ, Fox CS, Hoffmann U. Association of pericardial fat, intrathoracic fat, and visceral abdominal fat with cardiovascular disease burden: the Framingham Heart Study. *Eur Heart J* 2009;**30**:850–856.
- Rosito GA, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Ruberg FL, Mahabadi AA, Vasan RS, O'Donnell CJ, Fox CS. Pericardial fat, visceral abdominal fat, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and vascular calcification in a community-based sample: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:605–613.
- Ding J, Hsu F-C, Harris TB, Liu Y, Kritchevsky SB, Szklo M, Ouyang P, Espeland MA, Lohman KK, Criqui MH, Allison M, Bluemke DA, Carr JJ. The association of pericardial fat with incident coronary heart disease: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;**90**:499–504.
- Schlett CL, Ferencik M, Kriegel MF, Bamberg F, Ghoshhajra BB, Joshi SB, Nagurney JT, Fox CS, Truong QA, Hoffmann U. Association of pericardial fat and coronary high-risk lesions as determined by cardiac CT. *Atherosclerosis* 2012; 222:129–134.
- Forouzandeh F, Chang SM, Muhyieddeen K, Zaid RR, Trevino AR, Xu J, Nabi F, Mahmarian JJ. Does quantifying epicardial and intrathoracic fat with noncontrast computed tomography improve risk stratification beyond calcium scoring alone? *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:58–66.
- 17. Whitfield JB. Gamma glutamyl transferase. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 2001;38:263-355.
- Wannamethee G, Ebrahim S, Shaper AG. Gamma-glutamyltransferase: determinants and association with mortality from ischemic heart disease and all causes. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995;**142**:699–708.
- Emdin M, Passino C, Michelassi C, Titta F, L'abbate A, Donato L, Pompella A, Paolicchi A. Prognostic value of serum gamma-glutamyl transferase activity after myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2001;22:1802–1807.
- Emdin M, Passino C, Michelassi C, Donato L, Pompella A, Paolicchi A. Additive prognostic value of gamma-glutamyltransferase in coronary artery disease. Int J Cardiol 2009;136:80–85.
- Jousilahti P, Rastenyte D, Tuomilehto J. Serum gamma-glutamyl transferase, selfreported alcohol drinking, and the risk of stroke. Stroke 2000;31:1851–1855.
- 22. Ruttmann E, Brant LJ, Concin H, Diem GNTER, Rapp K, Ulmer H; the Vorarlberg Health Monitoring and Promotion Program Study Group. Gammaglutamyltransferase as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease mortality: an epidemiological investigation in a cohort of 163,944 Austrian adults. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:2130–2137.
- Ulmer H, Kollerits B, Kelleher C, Diem G, Concin H. Predictive accuracy of the SCORE risk function for cardiovascular disease in clinical practice: a prospective evaluation of 44 649 Austrian men and women. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehab* 2005; 12:433–441.

- Paolicchi A, Emdin M, Ghliozeni E, Ciancia E, Passino C, Popoff G, Pompella A. Images in cardiovascular medicine. Human atherosclerotic plaques contain gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase enzyme activity. *Circulation* 2004;**109**:1440.
- Paolicchi A, Minotti G, Tonarelli P, Tongiani R, De Cesare D, Mezzetti A, Dominici S, Comporti M, Pompella A. Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidasedependent iron reduction and LDL oxidation—a potential mechanism in atherosclerosis. J Invest Med 1999;47:151–160.
- Emdin M, Pompella A, Paolicchi A. Gamma-glutamyltransferase, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease: triggering oxidative stress within the plaque. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:2078–2080.
- Franzini M, Bramanti E, Ottaviano V, Ghiri E, Scatena F, Barsacchi R, Pompella A, Donato L, Emdin M, Paolicchi A. A high performance gel filtration chromatography method for gamma-glutamyltransferase fraction analysis. *Anal Biochem* 2008;**374**:1–6.
- Franzini M, Ottaviano V, Fierabracci V, Bramanti E, Zyw L, Barsacchi R, Scatena F, Boni C, Mammini C, Passino C, Pompella A, Emdin M, Paolicchi A. Fractions of plasma gamma-glutamyltransferase in healthy individuals: reference values. *Clin Chim Acta* 2008;**395**:188–189.
- Lee DS, Evans JC, Robins SJ, Wilson PW, Albano I, Fox CS, Wang TJ, Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS. Gamma glutamyl transferase and metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and mortality risk: the Framingham Heart Study. *Arterioscl Thromb Vasc Biol* 2007;**27**:127–133.
- Franzini M, Fornaciari I, Rong J, Larson MG, Passino C, Emdin M, Paolicchi A, Vasan RS. Correlates and reference limits of plasma gamma-glutamyltransferase fractions from the Framingham Heart Study. *Clin Chin Acta* 2013;417:19–25.
- Fornaciari I, Fierabracci V, Corti A, Aziz Elawadi H, Lorenzini E, Emdin M, Paolicchi A, Franzini M. Gamma-glutamyltransferase fractions in human plasma and bile: characteristic and biogenesis. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e88532.
- Franzini M, Corti A, Martinelli B, Del Corso A, Emdin M, Parenti GF, Glauber M, Pompella A, Paolicchi A. Gamma-glutamyltransferase activity in human atherosclerotic plaques—biochemical similarities with the circulating enzyme. *Atherosclerosis* 2009;202:119–127.
- Pucci A, Franzini M, Matteucci M, Ceragioli S, Marconi M, Ferrari M, Passino C, Basolo F, Emdin M, Paolicchi A. b-Gamma-glutamyltransferase activity in human vulnerable carotid plaques. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;**237**:307–313.
- Wilson PWF, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB. Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. *Circulation* 1998; 97:1837–1847.
- Michaud K, Magnin V, Faouzi M, Fracasso T, Aguiar D, Dedouit F, Grabherr S. Postmortem coronary artery calcium score in cases of myocardial infarction. *Int J Leg Med* 2021;**135**:1829–1836.
- Santini G, Della Latta D, Vatti A, Ripoli A, Chiappino S, Piagneri V, Chiappino D, Martini N. Deep learning for pericardial fat extraction and evaluation on a population study. *MedRxiv* 2020 (preprint).
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972;18:499–502.
- Greenland P, Blaha MJ, Budoff MJ, Erbel R, Watson KE. Coronary calcium score and cardiovascular risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:434–447.
- Cheong BYC, Wilson JM, Spann SJ, Pettigrew RI, Preventza OA, Muthupillai R. Coronary artery calcium scoring: an evidence-based guide for primary care physicians. J Int Med 2021;289:309–324.
- 40. Erbel R, Delaney JAC, Lehmann N, McClelland RL, Möhlenkamp S, Kronmal RA, Schmermund A, Moebus S, Dragano N, Stang A, Jöckel K-H, Budoff MJ; Investigator Group of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. Signs of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in relation to risk factor distribution in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) and the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study (HNR). *Eur Heart* J 2008;**29**:2782–2791.
- 41. Elias-Smale SE, Proença RV, Koller MT, Kavousi M, van Rooij FJA, Hunink MG, Steyerberg EW, Hofman A, Oudkerk M, Witteman JCM. Coronary calcium score improves classification of coronary heart disease risk in the elderly: the Rotterdam study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1407–1414.
- 42. Ferencik M, Pencina KM, Liu T, Ghemigian K, Baltrusaitis K, Massaro JM, D'Agostino RB, O'Donnell CJ, Hoffmann U. Coronary artery calcium distribution is an independent predictor of incident major coronary heart disease events: results from the Framingham Heart Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;**10**: e006592.
- Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Schisterman E, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of cardiac risk factors and coronary artery calcium screening for all-cause mortality. *Radiology* 2003;**228**:826–833.
- 44. Taylor AJ, Bindeman J, Feuerstein I, Cao F, Brazaitis M, O'Malley PG. Coronary calcium independently predicts incident premature coronary heart disease over measured cardiovascular risk factors: mean three-year outcomes in the Prospective Army Coronary Calcium (PACC) project. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:807–814.
- 45. Rumberger JA, Simons DB, Fitzpatrick LA, Sheedy PF, Schwartz RS. Coronary artery calcium area by electron-beam computed tomography and coronary

atherosclerotic plaque area. A histopathologic correlative study. *Circulation* 1995; **92**:2157–2162.

- 46. Lo-Kioeng-Shioe MS, Rijlaarsdam-Hermsen D, van Domburg RT, Hadamitzky M, Lima JAC, Hoeks SE, Deckers JW. Prognostic value of coronary artery calcium score in symptomatic individuals: a meta-analysis of 34,000 subjects. *Int J Cardiol* 2020;**299**:56–62.
- Mauriello A, Servadei F, Zoccai GB, Giacobbi E, Anemona L, Bonanno E, Casella S. Coronary calcification identifies the vulnerable patient rather than the vulnerable plaque. *Atherosclerosis* 2013;**229**:124–129.
- Hosseinsabet A, Mohebbi A, Almasi A. C-reactive protein and coronary calcium score association in coronary artery disease. *Cardiol J* 2008;15:431–436.
- Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, Farb A, Schwartz SM. Lessons from sudden coronary death: a comprehensive morphological classification scheme for atherosclerotic lesions. Arterioscl Thromb Vasc Biol 2000;20:1262–1275.
- Iacobellis G, Bianco AC. Epicardial adipose tissue: emerging physiological, pathophysiological and clinical features. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2011;22: 450–457.
- Ansaldo AM, Montecucco F, Sahebkar A, Dallegri F, Carbone F. Epicardial adipose tissue and cardiovascular diseases. *Int J Cardiol* 2019;278:254–260.

- Zhou J, Chen Y, Zhang Y, Wang H, Tan Y, Liu Y, Huang L, Zhang H, Ma Y, Cong H. Epicardial fat volume improves the prediction of obstructive coronary artery disease above traditional risk factors and coronary calcium score. *Circ Cardiovasc Imag* 2019;**12**:e008002.
- Kamal D, Abd ElMoteleb AM, Samir R, Saeed M. Epicardial fat thickness can predict severity and multivessel distribution in Egyptian patients with atherosclerotic coronary artery stenosis. *Egypt Heart J* 2018;**70**:323–327.
- 54. Mohammadzadeh M, Mohammadzadeh V, Shakiba M, Motevalli M, Abedini A, Kadivar S, Entezari P, Mohammadzadeh A. Assessing the relation of epicardial fat thickness and volume, quantified by 256-slice computed tomography scan, with coronary artery disease and cardiovascular risk factors. Arch Ir Med 2018;21:95–100.
- Sahasrabuddhe A, Pitale SU, Sivanesan S, Deshpande PK, Deshpande SP, Daiwile A. Pathogenic gene expression of epicardial adipose tissue in patients with coronary artery disease. *Ind J Med Res* 2020;**151**:554–561.
- Mancio J, Azevedo D, Saraiva F, Azevedo AI, Pires-Morais G, Leite-Moreira A, Falcao-Pires I, Lunet N, Bettencourt N. Epicardial adipose tissue volume assessed by computed tomography and coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;19:490–497.