# A review on advances in intra-operative imaging for surgery and therapy

Imagining the operating room of the future

Paolo Zaffino\* · Sara Moccia\* · Elena De Momi · Maria Francesca Spadea

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Purpose With the advent of Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS), intra-operative imaging has become crucial for surgery and therapy guidance, allowing to partially compensate for the lack of information typical of MIS. This paper reviews the advancements in both classical (i.e. ultrasounds, X-ray, optical coherence tomography and magnetic resonance imaging) and more recent (i.e. multispectral, photoacoustic and Raman imaging) intra-operative imaging modalities. Methods Each imaging modality was analyzed, focusing on benefits and disadvantages in terms of compatibility with the operating room, costs, acquisition time and image characteristics. Tables are included to summarize this information. New generation of hybrid surgical room and algorithms for real time/in room image processing were also investigated. Results Each imaging modality has its own (site- and procedure-specific) peculiarities in terms of spatial and temporal resolution, field of view and contrasted tissues. Besides the benefits that each technique offers for guidance, considerations about operators and patient risk, costs, and extra time required for surgical procedures have to be considered. The current trend is to equip surgical rooms with multimodal imaging systems, so as to integrate multiple information for real-time data extraction and computer-assisted processing. **Conclusions** The future of surgery is to enhance surgeons eye to minimize

Paolo Zaffino and Maria Francesca Spadea

S. Moccia

E. De Momi

Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering (DEIB), Politecnico di Milano Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32, 20133 Milano (MI), Italy

 $^{\ast}$  These authors equally contributed to this paper.

Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Universitá della Magna Graecia, Catanzaro (Italy)

Department of Information Engineering (DII), Università Politecnica delle Marche via Brecce Bianche, 12, 60131 Ancona (AN), Italy E-mail: s.moccia@univpm.it



**Fig. 1** Surveyed imaging modalities: X-rays, OCT (Optical Coherence Tomography), PA (PhotoAcoustic) imaging, endo/laparoscopy, iMRI (intra-operative Magnetic Resonance Imaging), iOUS (intra-Operative UltraSound), nuclear medicine, and Raman.

intra- and after-surgery adverse events and provide surgeons with all possible support to objectify and optimize the care-delivery process.

## 1 Introduction

With the advent of Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS), intraoperative imaging started to play a crucial role in different fields, such as neurosurgery [1], urology [2] and nephrectomy [3], to access hidden targets, allow intraoperative optical biopsy, guide navigation and, in general, to guarantee minimal invasiveness and maximal safety. In the last decades, several advancements have been done in the field of intraoperative imaging, leading to real-time (or quasi-real time) systems with higher resolution, efficiency, lower costs and able to execute complex data analyses [4].

Intra-Operative UltraSound (iOUS), X-ray, Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT), intra-operative Magnetic Resonance Imaging (iMRI), Nuclear Medicine (NM), endo/laparoscopy, PhotoAcoustic (PA), and Raman imaging are among the most rapidly evolving modalities, even if with different levels of diffusion in clinics. In Fig. 1, exemplary intra-operative images are shown. These imaging modalities are commonly exploited for different surgical tasks and in different surgical phases, according to their specifications. Table 1 and Table 2 summarize this information, while Table 3 highlights the main clinical applications for each modality.

A review on advances in intra-operative imaging for surgery and therapy

	iOUS	X-ray	OCT	iMRI	Endo/laparoscopy	PA	Nuclear medicine	Raman
Spatial resolution	$\sim \mu m$	$\sim \mu m$ - mm	$\mu m$	mm	$\sim \mu m$ - m	$\sim \mu$ m - m	$\sim \mathrm{mm}$	< mm
Temporal resolution [frame/s]	$\sim 120$	$\sim 7-30$	$\sim 4-40$	$\sim 5-15$	$\sim 10-30$	$\sim 10-30$	< 0.01	< 0.01
Max field of view [mm]	$\sim 200$	$\sim 430$	$\sim 200$	$\sim 550$	$\sim 100$	$\sim 100$	$\sim 5$	$\sim 200$
Costs [\$]	10-100k	10-100k	10-100k	1-10M	0.1-10k	1-10k	10k	10-100k

Table 1 Imaging technique specifications. Orders of magnitude are reported.

	iOUS	X-ray	OCT	iMRI	Endo/laparoscopy	PA	Nuclear medicine	Raman
Bones		$\checkmark$			√	$\checkmark$		
Muscles tendons ligaments	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
Vessels	$\checkmark$	√*		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Cytoarchitecture			$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$
Metabolic and functional processes				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
*With contrast agent								

Table 2 Enhanced tissues.

Considering how fast the field of intra-operative imaging is evolving, the motivations behind reviewing such a topic resides in the fact that, by analyzing the relevant state of art, we found that the majority of published reviews are either focused on technical aspects (e.g. AR [5], anatomy segmentation [6], deep-learning processing [7]) or limited to a specific imaging modality (e.g. OCT [8], Endo/laparoscopy [9], iMRI [10], Raman [11]).

The closer work to ours is the one presented in [12], which, however, only surveys emerging imaging modalities (i.e. fluorescence, PA, Raman and nuclear imaging). As a result, considering such information, the importance of intraoperative imaging in the surgery of the future will come to light. The goal of the review is, instead, to provide a compact and updated source of information for young researchers who are approaching the wide field on intra-operative imaging, and a reference overview document for those already working in the field.

This review article discusses the basic principles and development directions of intra-operative imaging modalities and is not intended to be a comprehensive review of intra-operative imaging applications. Eight imaging modalities are surveyed: iOUS (Sec. 2), X-ray (Sec. 3), OCT (Sec. 4), (Sec. 5), Endo/laparoscopy (Sec. 6), PA imaging (Sec. 7), Nuclear medicine (Sec. 8), Raman spectroscopy (Sec. 9). To conclude this review, an overview of integrated surgical rooms, as well as a survey of real-time/quasi real-time image processing techniques for intraoperative applications, is presented (Sec. 10). This way, we aim at providing the reader with useful information about the forthcoming trend to install ad-hoc operating rooms (ORs).

To limit the overlap with previous survey papers, we selected the articles according to the following criteria:

- Papers about clinical application, mainly reported in Table 4 and Table 5, had to be published from 2010 onward; no restriction for papers introducing general concepts about imaging physical principles;
- Papers not strictly discussing intra-operative applications (such as diagnosis and follow-up and clinical trials) were not considered.

	iOUS	X-ray	OCT	iMRI	Endo/laparoscopy	PA	Nuclear medicine	Raman
Neuro	~	~	~	~	$\checkmark$	~		~
Ophtalmology	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					
Ear-nose-throat	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Breast	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Cardiothoracic and endovascular	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Abdominal	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Pelvic	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial	1	1	$\checkmark$	1	1	1		

 Table 3 Principal surgical applications.

Method	Year	Application field	Aim	Imaging technique
Riva et al. [13] Farnia et al. [14] Ahmadi et al. [15] Deffieux et al. Imbault et al. [16] Mura et al. [17]	2017 2015 2015 2017 2017	Neuro Cardiothoracic and	Brain-shift assessment Pre-op planning update Electrode positioning Cortical mapping Device tracking	iOUS
Brattain et al. [18] Rahim et al. [19] Alenezi et al. [20] Antico et al. [21] Petrover et al. [22]	2014 2018 2015 2019 2018	Abdominal Pelvic Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial	Tool tracking Review on stent implantation Vessel visualization Review on guidance procedures Guidance	
Sharma et al. [23] Burchiel et al. [24] Barsa et al. [26] Dinesh et al. [26] Dinesh et al. [27] Cooke et al. [28] Labadie et al. [29] Wong et al. [30] Fitts et al. [31] Fitts et al. [32] Schwartz et al. [33] Kenngott et al. [33] Schafer et al. [35] Schichor et al. [36] De et al. [37] Lee et al. [37] Bell et al. [40] Shaye et al. [41] Rabie et al. [42] Gieroba et al. [43] Coste et al. [45] Schichor et al. [45]	$\begin{array}{c} 2016\\ 2013\\ 2014\\ 2016\\ 2012\\ 2011\\ 2011\\ 2011\\ 2001\\ 2018\\ 2011\\ 2012\\ 2016\\ 2012\\ 2010\\ 2013\\ 2017\\ 2011\\ 2015\\ 2011\\ 2015\\ 2013\\ 2012\\$	Neuro Ear-nose-thorat Cardiothoracic and endovascular Abdominal and thoracic Pelvic Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial	Electrode placing Electrode placing Spinal surgery Spinal surgery Screw placement Ventricular drain placement Cochlear implantation Sinus surgery Stent implantation Femoral artery puncture Valvular repair Liver surgery Lung surgery Prost Surgery Prost Surgery Cranial neurosurgery Cranial neurosurgery Cranial neurosurgery Fracture reduction Review on hand surgery Screw fixation Dislocations reduction	X-ray
Cunningham et al. [46] Hahn et al. [47] Ray et al. [48] Lee et al. [49] Falkner et al. [50] Siebelmann et al. [51] Das et al. [52] Carrasco et al. [53] Prati et al. [54] Imola et al. [55] Kubo et al. [56] Alfonso et al. [57] Gonzalo et al. [59] Sommery et al. [60] Chn et al. [62]	2014 2011 2011 2010 2016 2016 2016 2012 2010 2011 2012 2012	Ophthalmology Cardiothoracic and endovascular Orthopedic, cranial and maxilofacial	Fracture assessment Retinal anatomy evaluation Retinal detachment repair Epiretinal membrane monitoring Canaloplasty Cataract surgery Vitrectomy Percutaneous coronary surgery Review on coronary surgery Review on coronary surders Coronary dissection Stenosis assessment Review on coronary interventions Parathyroid gland identification Cartilage assessment	OCT
Nolan et al. [62] Nguyen et al. [63] Bus et al. [64]	2016 2010 2013	Breast Pelvic	Lymph node evaluation Lymph node evaluation Upper urinary tract assessment	

The 40% of the cited articles discuss the technical aspects of the investigated modalities, the remaining 60% are about clinical applications.

# 2 Intra-operative Ultrasound (iOUS) Imaging

UltraSounds (US) are a succession of rarefactions and compressions transmitted due to elastic forces between adjacent particles. Most diagnostic US has

MethodYearApplication fieldAimImaging techniqueColurger et al. [65]0017NeuroGlioma resectionIMRICharlader et al. [66]0017Meningoma resectionIMRIBichelder et al. [68]2016Pituitary adenoma surgeryNeuroReview of the sectionCharlader et al. [61]2016Pituitary adenoma surgeryNeuroPituitary adenoma surgeryReseare et al. [71]2014Pituitary adenoma surgeryNeuroReseare et al. [76]2018Cardiothoracic and endovascularReview on archite catherpointCardiothoracic and endovascularReview on archite catherpointElectrode placementPellate it et al. [76]2018Cardiothoracic and endovascularReview on archite catherpointWegelin et al. [80]2018Orthopedic, cranial and maxilloficalReview on maculoskeletal systemSequeiros et al. [81]2018Orthopedic, cranial and maxilloficalReview on maculoskeletal systemSequeiros et al. [85]2016SkinBurna assessment Review on turnor delinestionCharles [86]2016NeuroBrain Unor delinestionPatherie [89]2016NeuroBrain Unor delinestionCharles [81]2018AbdominalTissue classificationMina de 18]2018AbdominalTissue classificationMarker [81]2018NeuroBrain Unor delinestionCharles [81]2018AbdominalTissue classificationMine [84]2016BroastTimor deline					
Cohurger et al. [65] Lie et al. [66] Chakraborty et al. [67] Cimar seal. [68] Cimar seal. [71] Cimat et al. [72] Cimat et al. [74] Cimat et al. [75] Cimat et al. [76] Cimar seal. [77] Cimat et al. [78] Cimat et al. [84] Peticitic sellew on marculacketal system Review on marculacketal system R	Method	Year	Application field	Aim	Imaging technique
Contract and a log of the section o	Cohuman at al [65]	0015	N	Climer monthing	MDI
Charler at 103       CP       2017       Multinamic resum         Buchfolder et al. [65]       2016       Printary adnorma surgery       Skill base surgery         Charler et al. [76]       2016       Printary adnorma surgery       Skill base surgery         Rossler et al. [76]       2016       Printary adnorma surgery       Biopsy         Rossler et al. [76]       2016       Review of pilepsy surgery       Poliatic transfer epilepsy surgery         Jakobs et al. [76]       2018       Cardiothoracic and endovascular       Review on neurosurgery         Wegelin et al. [72]       2018       Cardiothoracic and endovascular       Review on neurosurgery         Rober et al. [81]       2018       Orthopedic, cranial       Review on musculosaletal system         Repeive on musculosaletal system       Review on biopsy       Prostate biopsy       Crane additional sessment         Sequeiros et al. [85]       2016       Neuro       Brain tumor ablation         Charler [85]       2016       Neuro       Brain tumor ablation         Charler [86]       2016       Neuro       Brain tumor ablation         Charler [86]       2016       Neuro       Brain tumor ablation         Nural activity assessment       Nural activity assessment       Endo/laparoscopy         Maket al. [91] </td <td>Li et al [66]</td> <td>2013</td> <td>iveuro</td> <td>Clima resection</td> <td>INIT</td>	Li et al [66]	2013	iveuro	Clima resection	INIT
	Chalmabarty at al. [67]	2017		Moningoma resection	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Buchfoldon et al. [69]	2017		Bituitany adapama gungany	
Chooding et al. [70] Ginat et al. [71] Weisker et al. [72] Jakobs et al. [73] Jakobs et al. [74]2016 2016 Cardiothoracic and endovascular Review on surgery Belieterode placement Review on neurosurgery Review on musculoskeletal system Review on hispsy Review o	Achour et al. [60]	2010		Skull base surgery	
Contart et al. [71]2014The Tamor surgery BiopsyMolveline tal. [72]2016Perfusion surgery BiopsyResective surgery for epilepsy surgery Jakobs et al. [76]2018Perfusion sets BiopsyGuo et al. [77]2018Cardiothoracic and endovascular Review on cardia catheterization Review on cardia catheterization Review on macrosurgery Prostate biopsy Prostate biopsy Prostate biopsy Prostate biopsy Prostate biopsy Review on tumor sublationWeigel et al. [78]2013 Cardiothoracic and endovascular Review on successheet Prostate biopsy Prostate biopsy	Choudhri et al. [09]	2010		Bodiatzia bzain tumona	
Machyseldin et al. [72] Roessler et al. [73] Warsi et al. [74] 2016 Warsi et al. [75] 20172016 2016 Peliatric eplicesy argery Electrode placement Review on neuronurgery Review on neuronurgery Rev	Cipat at al [71]	2013		Tumor surgery	
	Mohveldin et al. [72]	2014		Biopey	
	Description et al. [72]	2017		Diopsy Diopsy	
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Cut et al. [76] & 2018 & Cardiothoracic and endovascular Review \ on neuroaugery \\ Guo et al. [77] & 2018 & Review \ on neuroaugery \\ Fafia et al. [78] & 2017 & Pelvic & Review \ on neuroaugery \\ Wegelin et al. [80] & 2017 & Pelvic & Review \ on neuroaugery \\ Repeter on the second of $	Wenni et al. [74]	2010		Pediatria apilanau aurgeny	
	Cui et al [75]	2010		Floatrodo placement	
	Inkoha at al [76]	2010		Electrode placement	
Tail is it al. [79] Etiel et al. [80] Weigelin et al. [80] 2017Cardiothoracic and endovascular PelvicReview on aradiac ablation 	Cuo et el [77]	2018		Poujou on nouncoundonu	
	Trifa et al [78]	2010	Cardiothoracic and endovascular	Beview on cardiac catheterization	
	Fitel et al [79]	2014	curdiothoracie and endotabeanar	Cardiac ablation	
Mediatrash et al. [81]       2018       Ferrate bloopsy Gynecologic brachytherapy Ahrar et al. [82]       2018       Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial mad maxillofacial Review on musculoskeletal system Review on musculoskeletal system Review on bloopsy         Sequeiros et al. [84]       2018       Breast       Review on musculoskeletal system Review on bloopsy         Chevrier et al. [85]       2016       Breast       Review on bloopsy         King [87]       2015       Skin       Wound assessment Burn assessment       Endo/laparoscopy         Thatcher [88]       2016       Neuro       Burn assessment Neural activity assessment       Endo/laparoscopy         Mocin [93]       2018       Abdominal       Tissue classification Physiological parameter assessment       Endo/laparoscopy         Mirkert [95]       2016       Perincip Physiological parameter assessment       Provision assessment       Endo/laparoscopy         Wirkert [96]       2011       Pelvic       Tissue classification Physiological parameter assessment       Provision assessment         Clancy [100]       2016       Oxygenation assessment       Perinsion assessment       Provision assessment         Nandy [102]       2017       Review on bionygenation assessment       Perinsion assessment       Provision assessment         Nandy [102]       2011       Review on train procedures the assification	Wegelin et al [80]	2014	Pelvic	Beview on prostate bioney	
Kapur et al. [82]       2013       Cynecologic brachetyberapy Review on musculoskeletal system         Sequeiros et al. [84]       2018       Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial       Review on musculoskeletal system         Sequeiros et al. [85]       2018       Breast       Review on musculoskeletal system         Chevrier et al. [85]       2016       Skin       Wound assessment       Endo/Laparoscopy         Thatcher [88]       2016       Skin       Burn assessment       Endo/Laparoscopy         Thatcher [89]       2016       Brain tumor delineation       Neural activity assessment       Finderstein         Ayaia [97]       2018       Neuro       Brain tumor delineation       Neural activity assessment       Finderstein         Miraset [96]       2016       Time detection       Time detection       Physiological parameter assessment       Physiological parameter assessment         Wirkert [96]       2011       Pelvic       Tissue oxygenation assessment       Perfusion assessment         Kuing [91]       2018       Pelvic       Tissue oxygenation assessment       Perfusion assessment         King [91]       2014       Carae [105]       2011       Perfusion assessment       Perfusion assessment         Nandy [102]       2016       Timor delineation       Tumor deleneation       Perf	Mohrtsch et al. [81]	2017	1 ervic	Prostate biopsy	
Ahrar et al. [83]2018 2018Orthopedic, cranial and maxillofacial and maxillofacial and maxillofacial and maxillofacial and maxillofacial Review on musculoskeletal system Review on musculoskeletal systemEndo/laparoscopyKing [87]2015SkinWourd assessment Burn assessment Neural activity assessment Physiolical parameter assess	Kapur et al [82]	2013		Gynecologic brachytherapy	
Nume to a [00]2010Constrained maxillofacial and maxillofacial and maxillofacial medicationReview on musculoskeletal system Review on musculoskeletal system Review on biopsyPediconi et al.8512018BreastReview on musculoskeletal system Review on biopsyKing [87] Thatcher [88] Ohayon [91]2015SkinWound assessment Burn assessment Neural activity assessment Physiological parameter assessment Oxygenation assessment Oxygenation assessment Oxygenation assessment Oxygenation assessment Oxygenation assessment Physiological parameter assessment Oxygenation assessment Caract [105] Oxygenation assessment Caract [106]PA imaging Physical Physical Oxygenation assessment Caract [107]PA imaging Physical Physical Oxygenation assessment Caract [108]PA imaging Physical Physical Physical Physical Physical Physical Physical Phys	Abrar et al. [62]	2013	Orthopedic cranial	Beview on musculoskeletal system	
Sequeiros et al. [84] Pediconi et al. [85]2018 2018Breast BreastReview on nucoloskeletal system Review on humo ablation Review on biopsyKing [87] Thatcher [88]2015SkinWound assessment Burn assessmentEndo/laparoscopy Burn assessmentAking [97] Thatcher [88]2016SkinWound assessment Burn assessmentEndo/laparoscopyMatcher [88] Ohayon [91]2018NeuroBreastBurn assessment Burn assessmentEndo/laparoscopyAyala [92] Word [393]2018NeuroBreastNeural activity assessment Tissue classification Tumor detectionTissue classification Tumor detectionKumashiro [94] Wirkert [96] Urikert [96]2011 PelvicPhysiological parameter assessment Physiological parameter assessment Physiological parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Physiological parameter assessment Dividogical parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Nady [102] 2016Renal oxygenation assessment Dividia assessment Priviological parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Priviological parameter assessment Prividia assessment Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detectionNady [102] Van [106]2011 PrividiaCardiothoracic and endovascular PelvicPrimagi delineation </td <td>Annai et al. [65]</td> <td>2010</td> <td>and maxillofacial</td> <td>neview on musculoskeletal system</td> <td></td>	Annai et al. [65]	2010	and maxillofacial	neview on musculoskeletal system	
Terms at al.BerastDevice on times table system Review on biopsyKing [87] Thatcher [88]2015SkinReview on biopsyThatcher [88] Thatcher [88]2016NeuroBurn assessment Burn assessmentEndo/laparoscopy Burn assessmentAbdominal Wirkert [96]2018AbdominalTissue classification Thurkert [96]Tumor deliceation Physiological parameter assessmentWirkert [96] Clancy [97]2016PelvicBlood oxygenation assessmentKumashino [94] Wirkert [96]2017 2016Physiological parameter assessmentKumashino [94] Wirkert [96]2011PelvicZuzak [98] Clancy [97]2015Renal oxygenation assessmentHolzer [99] Van [104]2017 2017Renal oxygenation assessmentHolzer [99] Van [104]2017 2017Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102] Van [104]2017 2016Malignant tissue classification Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102] Van [104]2017 2018Malignant tissue classification Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detectionRay et al. [111] Van [106]2011 2014NeuroRay et al. [112] Van et al. [113] Van et al. [114]2015Okan et al. [124] Van et al. [115] Van et al. [115] War et al. [116]Skin PelvicOkan et al. [126] Vermeren et al. [126] Vermeren et al. [126]Skin PelvicOkan et al. [127] Vermeren et al. [126] Vermeren et al. [126] 2010Skin PelvicOkan et al. [127] Vermeren et al. [1	Sequeiros et al [84]	2018	and maximolaciai	Beview on musculoskeletal system	
Chevier et al. [86]2016DetectReview on biopsyKing [87] Thatcher [88]2016SkinBurn assessmentEndo/laparoscopyBarlow (199)2018NeuroBurn assessmentEndo/laparoscopyOhayon [91]2018NeuroBarla assessmentEndo/laparoscopyMoccia [33]2018AbdominalTissue classificationNeural activity assessmentMoccia [33]2018AbdominalTissue classificationTissue classificationKumashiro [44]2016Physiological parameter assessmentPhysiological parameter assessmentClancy [97]2015Blood oxygenation assessmentBlood oxygenation assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicTissue oxygenation assessmentClancy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Malignant tissue classificationVan [104]2017Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2017Cardiothoracic and endovascularBell et al. [112]2016BreastTumor tissue detectionTumor tissue detectionLi [14]2017Cardiothoracic and endovascularBell et al. [112]2015SkinLi [14]	Pediconi et al. [85]	2018	Breast	Review on tumor ablation	
King [87]2015SkinWound assessmentEndo/laparoscopyThatcher [88]2016Burn assessmentEndo/laparoscopyFabelo [90]2018NeuroBrain tumor delineationOhayon [91]2018NeuroBrain tumor delineationAyala [92]2019Neural activity assessmentNeural activity assessmentAyala [92]2016Physiological parameter assessmentWirkert [96]2011PelvicCamp [96]2011PelvicHolzer [99]2011PelvicGases [100]2018Maginant tissue classificationSaso [101]2017Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Malignant tissue classificationOxygenation assessmentCassessmentNandy [102]2016Malignant tissue classificationOxygenation assessmentOxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Malignant tissue classificationVan [104]2017Lymph node evaluationLu [9]2014Tumor tissue detectionMascharak [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatThuor tissue detectionTumor tissue detectionLu [9]2016BreastPrive van et al. [111]2017Van et al. [112]2015Van et al. [113]2016Diot et al. [114]2015Diot et al. [117]2018Pertus on nontoringTumor margin assessmentAllard et al. [120]2015SkinLymph nodes in breastJuma et a	Chevrier et al. [86]	2016	Diedat	Review on biopsy	
King [87]2015SkinWound assessmentEndo/laparoscopyThatcher [88]2016Burn assessmentBurn assessmentFabelo [90]2018NeuroBrain tumor delineationOhayon [91]2018NeuroNeural activity assessmentMoccia [93]2018AbdominalTissue classificationKumashiro [94]2017Physiological parameter assessmentMoccia [93]2018AbdominalTissue classificationKumashiro [94]2017Physiological parameter assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicTissue oxygenation assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicRenal oxygenation assessmentGlancy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Perfusion assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2011Lumph node evaluationVan [104]2011Lumph node evaluationVan [104]2011Lumph node evaluationMascharak [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatTumor tissue detectionTumor tissue detectionLu [10]2015Cardiothoracic and endovacularPike [108]2016Tumor margin assessmentLu [110]2015Cardiothoracic and endovacularPike [108]2016BreastLu [110]2015Cardiothoracic and endovacularPerfusion monociquePerfusion monociqueLu [116]2016BreastLu [116]2016Bell et al. [117]<		2010		rection on biopby	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	King [87]	2015	Skin	Wound assessment	Endo/laparoscopy
Tatcher [89] 2016       Fabelo [90] 2018 Neuro Brain tumor delineation       Neural activity assessment       Method Neural activity assessment       Tumor detection       Physiological parameter assessment       Physiological parameter	Thatcher [88]	2016		Burn assessment	,
Fabelo [90]'2018NeuroBrain Tumor delineationOhayon [91]2018Neural activity assessmentAyala [92]2019Neural activity assessmentMoccia [93]2016Neural activity assessmentKumashiro [94]2016Tumor detectionWirkert [95]2017Physiological parameter assessmentClancy [97]2015Blood coxygenation assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicClancy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentSaso [101]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentVan [106]2011Lumor tissue classificationVan [106]2011Lumor tissue classificationVan [106]2011Lumor tissue detectionMactank [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatLu [19]2016Tumor tissue detectionLu [19]2016BreastTumor tissue detectionTumor tissue detectionLu [10]2016Cardiothoracic and endovascularReview on flow imaging Perivision monitoringParimaging assessmentVan et al. [113]2014Van et al. [114]2015Sendar et al. [126]2016Diot et al. [117]2016Diot et al. [117]2016Bell et al. [122]2017Bell et al. [122]2017	Thatcher [89]	2016		Burn assessment	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fabelo [90]	2018	Neuro	Brain tumor delineation	
	Ohavon [91]	2018		Neural activity assessment	
	Avala [92]	2019		Neural activity assessment	
Kumashiro [94]2016Tumor detectionWirkert [95]2016Physiological parameter assessmentClancy [97]2015Biolod coxygenation assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicTolarcy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentSaso [101]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2017Urph node evaluationVan [105]2011Lymph node evaluationVan [106]2011Lymph node evaluationMakignatak [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatLu [19]2016Tumor tissue detectionLu [19]2016BreastTumor tissue detectionTumor tissue detectionLu [10]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularReview on flow imagingPerivation margin assessmentVan et al. [114]2015Van et al. [115]2016Diot et al. [117]2017Dina et al. [120]2018Bell et al. [120]2015SkinLymph nodes in melanomaAllard et al. [121]2015Okas et al. [122]2017Buemel et al. [123]2014Vermeeren et al. [124]2015Vermeeren et al. [125]SkinLi et al. [120]2015Wermeeren et al. [122]2017Vermeeren et al. [123] <td>Moccia [93]</td> <td>2018</td> <td>Abdominal</td> <td>Tissue classification</td> <td></td>	Moccia [93]	2018	Abdominal	Tissue classification	
Wirkert Wirkert Wirkert Wirkert Wirkert (96)2016 2017 2015Physiological parameter assessment Blod oxygenation assessmentWirkert Olarcy Uagenation Wirkert Mode Wasses Mode2011 2015Pelvic Real oxygenation assessmentWirkert Wirkert Wasses Mode Mode2011 2016Pelvic Real oxygenation assessmentHolzer Saso I (100) Van Van 1004 Uagenation Van 10052011 2016Renal oxygenation assessment Modygenation assessmentNandy V[102] Van Van I 0642017 2018 2011 Van I 064Carne (1055) 2011 Van I 0662011 2014 Vanph node evaluation Lymph node evaluation Lymph node evaluation Lumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detection Tumor tissue detectionPA imaging PA imagingRay et al. Lu 1101 20152016 2015Breast Prituitary surgery Prituitary surgery Review on frow imaging Prituitary surgery Review on frow imaging Prituitary surgery Review on frow imaging Prituitary surgery Prison monitoring Ermolayev et al. [113] 2016 2016PA imaging Prituitary surgery Prituitary surgery Privitary surgery Review on frow imaging Prituitary surgery Privality assessment Utaring assessment Utaring assessment Wasse et al. [117] 2016Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes in melanoma Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in oral cancerNuclear medicine Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in breast Lymph nodes in oral cancerOzkan et al. (122) Prostat estimel lymph nodes <td< td=""><td>Kumashiro [94]</td><td>2016</td><td>110001111101</td><td>Tumor detection</td><td></td></td<>	Kumashiro [94]	2016	110001111101	Tumor detection	
Wirkert[96]2017Physiological parameter assessmentClancy [97]2015Blood oxygenation assessmentZuzak [98]2011PelvicTissue oxygenation assessmentClancy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentSaso [101]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2017Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2017Lymph node evaluationVan [106]2011Lymph node evaluationVan [106]2011Tumor tissue detectionMakignank [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatLu [9]2016BreastLu [10]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularRey et al. [111]2011NeuroBell et al. [112]2015Van et al. [114]2015Oit et al. [117]2018Perlosion monitoringPelvicPrimiary suggeryParaessmentVan et al. [116]2016Diot et al. [117]2015Diot et al. [117]2015Ozkan et al. [120]2015Bell et al. [120]2015Okan et al. [121]2015Okan et al. [122]2017Buemel et al. [124]2015Vermeeren et al. [125]SkinLi et al. [120]2015Vermeeren et al. [124]2015Vermeeren et al. [125]SkinLi et al. [127]2015Vermeeren et al. [128]2016<	Wirkert [95]	2016		Physiological parameter assessment	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wirkert [96]	2017		Physiological parameter assessment	
Zuzak [98]2011PelvicTissue oxygenation assessmentHolzer [99]2011Renal oxygenation assessmentClancy [100]2016Oxygenation assessmentSaso [101]2017Oxygenation assessmentNandy [102]2016Malignant tissue classificationUnit [103]2017Oxygenation assessmentVan [104]2017Lymph node evaluationCrane [105]2011Lymph node evaluationVan [106]2011Tumor tissue detectionMascharak [107]2018Ear-Nose-ThroatLu [9]2016Tumor tissue detectionLu [109]2016BreastRay et al. [111]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularRel et al. [112]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularPay et al. [113]2014NeuroVan et al. [114]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularPrincing Perivsion monitoringPerivsion monitoringVan et al. [114]2015Cardiothoracic and endovascularReview on flow imaging assessmentAllard et al. [112]2015Dita et al. [120]2018PelvicUterime artery visualizationBell et al. [120]2017Ozka et al. [121]2015Oka et al. [122]2017BreastLymph nodes in melanomaAllard et al. [122]2017BreastLymph nodes in seastWan et al. [124]2010Vermeeren et al. [125]SkinLymph nodes in relation relationVerm	Clancy [97]	2015		Blood oxygenation assessment	
	Zuzak [98]	2011	Pelvic	Tissue oxygenation assessment	
	Holzer [99]	2011		Renal oxygenation assessment	
	Clancy [100]	2016		Oxygenation assessment	
	Saso [101]	2018		Perfusion assessment	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nandy [102]	2016		Malignant tissue classification	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lin [103]	2017		Oxygenation assessment	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Van [104]	2017		Lymph node evaluation	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Crane [105]	2011		Lymph node evaluation	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Van [106]	2011		Tumor tissue detection	
	Mascharak [107]	2018	Ear-Nose-Throat	Tumor tissue detection	
Pike [108]       2016       Tumor tissue detection         Lu [109]       2015       Breast       Tumor margin adelineation         Ray et al. [111]       2011       Neuro       Brain tumor delineation       PA imaging         Bell et al. [112]       2015       Cardiothoracic and endovascular       Brain tumor delineation       PA imaging         Van et al. [113]       2016       Breast       Perfusion monitoring       PA imaging         Ermolayev et al. [115]       2016       Breast       Perfusion monitoring       Patheteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteet	Lu [9]	2014		Tumor tissue detection	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } Lu \begin{bmatrix} 109 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} & 2016 & Breast & Tumor margin delineation \\ Tumor tissue detection \\ \hline \\ Ray et al. \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 122 \\ 112 \end{bmatrix} & 2015 & Partice \\ Partice \\ Van et al. \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 2015 \\ Van et al. \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 2015 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 2017 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 2017 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 116 \\ 2016 \\ 2016 \\ Li et al. \begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 2017 \\ Lymph nodes in head and neck \\ Lymph nodes in breast \\ Lymph nodes in oral cancer \\ Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes \\ Pelvic \\ Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes \\ Pelvic \\ Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes \\ Paraaor$	Pike [108]	2016		Tumor tissue detection	
Lu [110]     2015     Tumor tissue detection       Ray et al. [111]     2011     Neuro     Brain tumor delineation     PA imaging       Bell et al. [112]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Review on frow imaging     PA imaging       Yao et al. [113]     2016     Breast     Perfusion monitoring     Pa imaging       Ermolayev et al. [116]     2016     Breast     Purmor margin assessment     Van et al. [117]       Diot et al. [117]     2017     Abdominal     Utability assessment     Vability assessment       Allard et al. [120]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ghosh et al. [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in relanoma     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Warg et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Pelvic     Pelvic       Warg et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoraci de endovascular     Review on framor margin assessment     Pelvic       Warg et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast	Lu [109]	2016	Breast	Tumor margin delineation	
	Lu [110]	2015		Tumor tissue detection	
Ray et al. [11]     2011     Neuro     Brain tumor delineation     PA imaging       Bell et al. [12]     2015     Pituitary surgery     Review on frow imaging     Patimating       Yao et al. [113]     2014     Review on frow imaging     Review on frow imaging     Review on frow imaging       Ermolayev et al. [115]     2016     Breast     Perfusion monitoring     Perfusion monitoring       Li et al. [116]     2016     Breast     Purnor margin assessment     Purnor margin assessment       Dina et al. [118]     2013     Abdominal     Tumor margin assessment     Purnor margin assessment       Allard et al. [120]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ghosh et al. [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in relanoma     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Peraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic     Pelvic       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscp					
$      Bell et al. [112] 2015 \\ Yao et al. [113] 2014 \\ Van et al. [114] 2015 \\ Li et al. [116] 2016 \\ Did et al. [117] 2017 \\ Dima et al. [118] 2013 \\ Aldominal \\ Bell et al. [120] 2015 \\ Cardiothoracic and endovascular \\ Beview on finov imaging perfusion monitoring \\ Tumor margin assessment \\ Viability assessment \\ Viability assessment \\ Uterine artery visualization \\ Brachiterapy seed localization \\ Vermeerne et al. [122] 2015 \\ Skin \\ Buemel et al. [123] 2014 \\ Vermeerne et al. [125] 2010 \\ Vermeerne et al. [126] 2010 \\ Vermeerne et al. [126] 2010 \\ Vermeerne et al. [127] 2015 \\ Vermeerne et al. [126] 2010 \\ Vermeerne et al. [127] 2015 \\ Vermeerne et al. [126] 2010 \\ Vermeerne et al. [127] 2015 \\ Wang et al. [127] 2015 \\ Redier et al. [128] 2017 \\ Redier et al. [128] 2017 \\ Breast \\ Thomas et al. [129] 2017 \\ Breast \\ Thomas et al. [129] 2017 \\ Breast \\ Tumor margin assessment \\ Tum$	Ray et al. [111]	2011	Neuro	Brain tumor delineation	PA imaging
$      Yao et al. [113] 2014 \\ Van et al. [114] 2015 \\ Ermolayev et al. [115] 2016 \\ Diot et al. [117] 2017 \\ Allard et al. [120] 2018 \\ Bell et al. [120] 2015 \\ Cardiothoracic and endovascular \\ Allard et al. [121] 2015 \\ Constraint of the term of the term of the term of term$	Bell et al. [112]	2015		Pituitary surgery	
	Yao et al. [113]	2014		Review on brain procedures	
Ermolayev et al. [115]     2016     Breast     Perfusion monitoring       Li et al. [116]     2016     Tumor margin assessment       Dina et al. [117]     2017     Tumor margin assessment       Dina et al. [118]     2013     Abdominal     Viability assessment       Allard et al. [119]     2018     Pelvic     Uterine artery visualization       Bell et al. [120]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma       Ghosh et al. [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in oral cancer       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor detection       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor detection       Garai et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment	Van et al. [114]	2015	Cardiothoracic and endovascular	Review on flow imaging	
Li et al. [116]       2016       Tumor margin assessment         Diot et al. [117]       2017       Tumor margin assessment         Dina et al. [118]       2013       Abdominal       Viability assessment         Allard et al. [120]       2015       Pelvic       Uterine artery visualization         Bell et al. [120]       2015       Skin       Lymph nodes in melanoma         Ozkan et al. [121]       2015       Skin       Lymph nodes in breast         Bluemel et al. [123]       2014       Ear-Nose-Throat       Lymph nodes in breast         Vermeeren et al. [124]       2010       Adbominal       Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes         Vermeeren et al. [125]       2010       Adbominal       Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes         Vermeeren et al. [126]       2010       Adbominal       Pelvic         Wang et al. [127]       2015       Cardiothoracic and endovascular       Tumor margin assessment         Reder et al. [128]       2017       Breast       Tumor margin assessment         Thomas et al. [129]       2015       Abdominal       Tumor margin assessment         Garai et al. [130]       2015       Abdominal       Tumor margin assessment	Ermolayev et al. [115]	2016	Breast	Perfusion monitoring	
Diot et al. [117]     2017     Tumor margin assessment       Dima et al. [118]     2013     Abdominal     Viability assessment       Allard et al. [119]     2018     Pelvic     Uterine artery visualization       Bell et al. [120]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma       Ozkan et al. [121]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma       Bluemel et al. [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular       Wang et al. [128]     2017     Breast       Thumor margin assessment     Tumor detection       Reder et al. [128]     2017       Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Thomas et al. [129]     2017       Garai et al. [130]     2015       Abdominal     Tissue classification	Li et al. [116]	2016		Tumor margin assessment	
Dima et al. [118]     2013     Abdominal     Viability assessment       Allard et al. [120]     2015     Pelvic     Uterine artery visualization       Bell et al. [120]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ozkan et al. [121]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in breast     Nuclear medicine       Bluemel et al. [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Paraeortic sentinel lymph nodes       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2010     Adbominal     Paraeortic sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification     Raman spectroscpy	Diot et al. [117]	2017		Tumor margin assessment	
Allard et al. [119]     2018     Pelvic     Uterime artery visualization Brachiterapy seed localization       Ozkan et al. [121]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma Lymph nodes in breast     Nuclear medicine       Bluemel et al. [123]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in oral cancer     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular Thomas et al. [128]     Tumor detection Tumor margin assessment Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy Tumor margin assessment	Dima et al. [118]	2013	Abdominal	Viability assessment	
Bell et al. [120]     2015     Brachiterapy seed localization       Ozkan et al. [121]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ghosh et al. [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in breast     Nuclear medicine       Bluemel et al. [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Adbominal     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Pervice       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2010     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic     Pelvic       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Abdominal     Tumor margin assessment     Raman spectroscpy       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification     Raman spectroscpy	Allard et al. [119]	2018	Pelvic	Uterine artery visualization	
Ozkan et al.     [12]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ghosh et al.     [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in oral cancer     Nuclear medicine       Bluemel et al.     [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer     Nuclear medicine       Vermeeren et al.     [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al.     [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor detection     Raman spectroscpy       Reder et al.     [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment     Tumor margin assessment       Thomas et al.     [129]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification     Addominal	Bell et al. [120]	2015		Brachiterapy seed localization	
Ozkan et al.     [121]     2015     Skin     Lymph nodes in melanoma     Nuclear medicine       Ghosh et al.     [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in breast     Lymph nodes in breast       Bluemel et al.     [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer       Vermeeren et al.     [126]     2010     Adbominal     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Vermeeren et al.     [126]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Wang et al.     [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor detection       Reder et al.     [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Thomas et al.     [129]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification					
Giosh et al. [122]     2017     Breast     Lymph nodes in breast       Bluemel et al. [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Vermeeren et al. [125]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor detection       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Thomas et al. [129]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Ozkan et al. [121]	2015	Skin	Lymph nodes in melanoma	Nuclear medicine
Bituemei et al. [123]     2014     Ear-Nose-Throat     Lymph nodes in oral cancer       Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Vermeeren et al. [125]     2010     Adbominal     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Paraortic sentinel lymph nodes       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor detection       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Abdominal     Tumor margin assessment       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Ghosh et al. [122]	2017	Breast	Lymph nodes in breast	
Vermeeren et al. [124]     2010     Lymph nodes in head and neck       Vermeeren et al. [125]     2010     Adbominal     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     Tumor detection       Reder et al. [128]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Bluemel et al. [123]	2014	Ear-Nose-Throat	Lymph nodes in oral cancer	
Vermeeren et al. [125]     2010     Adbominal     Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes       Vermeeren et al. [126]     2011     Prostate sentinel lymph nodes     Pelvic       Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular Reder et al. [128]     Tumor detection     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment Tumor margin assessment     Tumor margin assessment       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Vermeeren et al. [124]	2010	Lymph nodes in head and neck		
Vermeeren et al. [126]         2011         Prostate sentinel lymph nodes         Pelvic           Wang et al. [127]         2015         Cardiothoracic and endovascular         Tumor detection         Raman spectroscopy           Reder et al. [128]         2017         Breast         Tumor margin assessment         Tumor margin assessment           Garai et al. [130]         2015         Abdominal         Tissue classification         Tissue classification	Vermeeren et al. [125]	2010	Adbominal	Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes	
Wang et al. [127]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular Reder et al. [128]     Tumor detection     Raman spectroscpy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Vermeeren et al. [126]	2011	Prostate sentinel lymph nodes	Pelvic	
wang et al. [124]     2015     Cardiothoracic and endovascular     1 unnor detection     Raman spectroscopy       Thomas et al. [129]     2017     Breast     Tumor margin assessment       Garal et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	W	0015	Condictly on six and and and and	Thursday detection	Demon meeters
Recurr et al.     [120]     2017     Breast     1umor margin assessment       Thomas et al.     [130]     2017     Tumor margin assessment       Garai et al.     [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	Wang et al. [127]	2015	Cardiothoracic and endovascular	Tumor detection	Raman spectroscpy
I nomas et al. [129]     2017     1 umor margin assessment       Garai et al. [130]     2015     Abdominal     Tissue classification	There et al. [128]	2017	Breast	rumor margin assessment	
Garai et al. [130] 2015 Abdominal Tissue classification	I nomas et al. [129]	2017		1umor margin assessment	
	Garai et al. [130]	2015	Abdominal	Tissue classification	

**Table 5** Application samples for interventional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (iMRI), Endo/laparoscopy, PhotoAcustic (PA) imaging, Nuclear medicine and Raman spectroscopy.

frequencies in the range 2-20 MHz [131]. The way elastic waves are reflected provides information about internal tissues.

US imaging techniques have been introduced as intra-operative imaging modalities (iOUS) thanks to their real-time acquisition, reduced OR encumbrance and limited costs, which allow full in-room compatibility.

*Technological advancements.* Recent technological advancements of iOUS are related to:

- Probe miniaturization, down to few mm in diameter, which allows the probe insertion in hollow cavities, such vessels in vascular or cardiac procedures and in the patient abdomen trough the trochar port during minimal invasive surgery. This led to Intra-Cardiac Echocardiography (ICE), TransEsophageal Echocardiography (TEE), TransRectal US (TRUS) and IntraVascular US (IVUS). On this regard, an interesting comparative study IVUS vs OCT has been recently published [19].

- Probe navigation and 3D probes realization, which allows the visualization of a volumetric dataset, rather than a planar slice.
- Signal processing capabilities, which allow for real-time visualization of inner anatomical structures and surgical tools.
- High focused US implementation, for precise targeting of therapy (see paragraph 5.2).

Volumetric US imaging is surely among the most impacting advancements of iOUS systems in the actual clinical practice. A review on real-time 3D US imaging technology has been recently published [132].

Volumetric US imaging can be achieved using 3D probes [133] and spatial localizing the probe with external measuring devices and properly calibrated [134]. Alternatively, US volumetric probes can be rigidly attached to robot end-effectors and provide intra-operative guidance of surgical interventions [135].

Deep learning has been recently employed to reconstruct the 3D volume without any external tracking device [136]. 3D volume reconstruction can be achieved with frame rates up to 120 frames/s [137].

The technological pharmacological combination of capsule endoscopy with US-mediated Targeted Drug Delivery (UmTDD) carries new potential for treatment of diseases throughout the gastro-intestinal tract. Finally Contrast-Enhanced US (CEUS) are used during robotic-assisted kidney surgery [20] to enhance the visualization of macro and microvasculature of the kidneys.

*Limitations and open issues.* IOUS based devices are portable and low-cost systems for obtaining intra-operative information. Some open issues are still limiting their adoption in some clinical procedures, such as:

- Poor tissue contrast due to low Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), despite the adoption of contrast media (e.g. such as microbubbles). This is particularly limiting neurosurgical navigation, since the planning phase is currently done on pre-operative CT or MRI sequences.
- Limited spatial resolution and FoV (inverse relationship, both are function of the excitation frequency of the transducer).

# 3 X-ray

X-ray based imaging techniques take advantage of the capability of high-energy photons to penetrate the matter. Radiations are artificially generated by means of X-ray tubes and it is possible to adjust the beam energy depending on patient size and desired tissue contrast. Information about the internal anatomy of the subject are revealed by photon attenuation through the matter [138].

Projective (2D) or tomographic (3D) images can be generated depending on the device configuration. Both type of images can be acquired over time, although only 2D digital radiography (i.e. fluoroscopy) offers true real time imaging. High contrast is obtained for bone and air; soft tissues can be enhanced by injecting a radiocontrast agent. The use of X-rays is limited by the maximum patient's radiation exposure stated by radiation protection limits.

Technological advancements. X-rays for intraoperative use were introduced in the '50, when Philips developed the first flexible and portable device known as C-arm. Nowadays, digital flat panel detectors replaced traditional image intensifiers, since they offer higher transducer efficiency with lower dose, higher spatial and radiometric resolution (100-200 $\mu$ m and 14-16 bits respectively), fast sample rate (25-40Hz), larger FoV, and lower image degradation over the period of use. C-arms are traditionally used for static and cine 2D acquisition. However, since the arm can revolve 360° around the patient, Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) can be acquired for 3D volume reconstruction. In addition, in room CT devices are also available:

- 1. On rail intraoperative CT (iCT), which are normal diagnostic CT scanners that can be moved into the room through ceiling rails. In some cases, the scanner is fixed and the surgical couch can be moved inside the CT device;
- 2. Small and portable CT scanners which can be moved in and out from the surgical room.

The last technological advancements are now directed towards the possibility to enhance soft tissue contrast without injecting contrast mean. This can be obtained by means of: 1) dual energy X-ray sources; 2) use of different ionizing radiations, such as proton or carbon ion beams, to obtain proton radiography and tomography [139, 140]. Even if such cutting edge technologies are not currently used for intraoperative applications, it is very likely they will be the next frontier of this in room modality.

Technological advancements about X-rays for guidance are related also to radiotherapy applications where X-ray beams are used not only for treatment, but also for guidance. To this purpose, stereoscopic radiographs for 3D reconstruction and CBCT are widely employed to verify patient's position and localize the tumor [141, 142]. In some centers, on rail CT and iCT are also employed for performing optimal adaptive radiotherapy treatments with the same quality of planning CT [143]. Linear accelerator have been integrated with CT scanner as for TomoTherapy<sup>®</sup> and robotic X-ray arms as for CyberKnife<sup>™</sup> for high precision radiosurgery treatments [144]. Another promising technique relies in exploiting Cherenkov emission during irradiation in order to visualize, in real time, surface dose on the patient skin. This method has been proved for breast radiotherapy [145] and total skin electron therapy [146], demonstrating the improvement of the irradiation quality assessment.

*Limitations and open issues.* The principal limitation of intraoperative X-ray based imaging is the invasiveness of ionizing radiation for biological tissues.

In the last years, particular attention has been payed to reduce X-ray dose delivered both to patient and staff [147, 148]. Especially for pediatric patients, other imaging techniques are preferred, when possible, to minimize the radiation exposure. From the image quality point of view, an important issue is represented by the presence of metal inserts which generate artifacts, especially for high density material [149]. Many efforts are also made to improve the quality of CBCT reconstruction. In fact, due to the conic aperture of the beam, photon scatter represents a serious issue for image degradation. Many scatter correction algorithms have been proposed in literature [150, 151]. However, standard practical solutions still remain inadequate.

# 4 Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)

Optical coherence tomography [152] is an imaging technique able to provide 1D (also named A-scan), 2D (B-scan) and 3D representations of biological tissue. It takes advantage of the optical reflection of light to obtain spatial information of the sample structure. By exploiting this physical propriety, it is possible to acquire high resolution images (axial resolution in the range of  $\mu$ m) without any tissue damage nor ionizing radiation dose delivered. Tissue details are revealed by time of flight of transmitted/reflected light signal, that is related to sample structure and composition. Ultrashort laser pulse, as well as low-coherence light, can be used as energy source. 2D images over time can be acquired and directly shown, meanwhile 4D representation (volumes over time) has been recently introduced.

Real-time OCT imaging has been made possible by Graphics Processing Units (GPU) computational power [8] and spectral-domain paradigm.

OCT has full in-room compatibility, since no risks exist for patients and operators. Anyway, as discussed in [153], metallic surgical tools can affect OCT image quality (e.g. introducing shadow). For this reason, in order to allow a real-time intraoperative OCT, instead of a "stop and scan" approach, instruments made of alternative materials (such as plastics and silicone) can be used.

Technological advancements. Since the presentation of this new modality, reducing acquisition time and improving image quality were the most important challenges to deal with. The introduction of spectral-domain OCT as an alternative to time-domain OCT, allowed to reduce scanning time, making easier the investigation of bigger volume sample [154, 155] and facilitating a real intraoperative usage. In addition to the spectral-domain strategy, another important improvement was the possibility to join the probe with microscopes, surgical instruments (such as needles), and laser modules [8].

Limitations and open issues. Currently, main OCT limitations are due to the narrow FoV (including reduced depth of penetration) achievable by means of this modality. However, in [156], a possible methodology to overcome this

Characteristics	Low-field	High-field
Devetable	V	N.
Portable	res	INO
Compatibility with the standard OR	Yes	No
Easy access to the operator	Yes	No
Compatibility with surgical tools	Yes	No
Real-time imaging	Yes	Yes
Image quality	Poor	High
Special sequences	No	Yes

 Table 6
 Main characteristics of iMRI scanners

limitation has been successfully tested, enabling an acquisition of a FoV up to  $20 \times 20$  cm. If compared with IVUS, OCT has a smaller depth of penetration, that in turn affects the FoV.

#### 5 Intra-operative Magnetic Resonance Imaging (iMRI)

MRI is based on the interaction of  $H^+$  proton spins immersed in a magnetic field and stimulated by Radio Frequency waves (RF pulse).

Tissues containing mobile protons, such soft tissues, present very high contrast in MRI. The contrast can be even modified in a process called pulse sequence, where a certain number of RF pulses and magnetic field gradients is set and combined to obtain an image with anatomical or functional appearance, such as for Perfusion MRI (Pe-MRI), MR Angiography (MRA), MR Venography (MRV), Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), functional MRI (fMRI) [157].

Due to the high combination of parameter setting, MRI is a very versatile technique. It provides high image quality in terms of spatial and contrast resolution, it combines morphological and physiological information, it features multiplanar 2D acquisition in any direction and orientation, as well as 3D isotropic voxel acquisition. Moreover, it does not involve ionizing radiations, thus being less invasive than X-ray based imaging. On the other end, MRI is prone to several artifacts, most important being motion and magnetic field distortion and it can be dangerous for the patient in presence of metal implants and active implantable medical devices.

*Technological advancements.* From the equipment perspective, the current possible configurations for iMRI can be grouped into 2 classes [158], whose main characteristics are reported in Table 6:

- Low field scanners: with a static magnetic field  $\leq 1$ T, they are small and portable devices [159] with a gap to allow access to the patient during the surgical procedures.
- High field scanners: with a static magnetic field  $\geq 1.5$ T (closed bore). They are introduced to the OR by means of ceiling rails (or the patient is moved inside the scanner by means of a movable operative table [160]). The main

advantage is the higher image quality and the possibility to acquire nonanatomical images (DWI, Pe-MRI, MRA and fMRI).

Specific pulse sequences allowing rapid imaging have been developed for realtime or quasi real-time imaging (10-20 frames/s [83, 161]).

Technological advancements led iMRI to be used as guide during radiotherapy and US based treatments. Image guidance in radiotherapy plays a crucial role for correct patient positioning, organ and tumor motion assessment during radiation delivery. By now, the scene has been dominated by US, optical tracking systems and X-ray based techniques, both for photon [162] and proton based treatments [163]. However, very recently, LINear ACcelerators (LINAC) have been integrated with MRI, giving birth to the first LINAC-MRI systems.

The great advantage provided by MRI guidance is the possibility to clearly contrast the cancerous tissue without use of any implanted or external surrogate point. Due to the promising results, the current trend in radio/particle therapy is to move toward MRI based treatments [164, 165].

US energy, finally, can be used to heat, store the heat and then release the heat over time into the tissue to be treated [166]. The focal point can be localized using pre-operative MRI (MR-guided focused US or MRgFUS). Intraoperatively, [167] introduced focal spot localization using Harmonic Motion Imaging (HMI). The motion of the organs can be compensated using robotic end-effectors [168].

*Limitations and open issues.* iMRI systems, especially in the high field configuration, are still very expensive and require a re-arrangement or a complete new installation of the OR and the use of specific MRI-safe devices.

In many cases, the time required for operations increases compared to the standard navigation and the involved personnel need a specific training to work in presence of magnetic field. These issues limit the spread of iMRI to specialized clinical institutions or very big hospitals.

It is possible to foresee in the future a higher presence of iMRI in the OR, especially with the new trend of multi-modal operative rooms.

## 6 Endo/laparoscopy

With the spread of MIS procedures, endo/laparoscopic imaging has become one of the most popular intraoperative imaging modality. Laparoscopic imaging is an optical, non-invasive and non-ionizing technology that provides surgeons with 2D images, with three (e.g., in case of RGB) or more channels, of the surgical scene. With respect to other imaging modalities (such as MRI and X-ray), endo/laparoscopic imaging is also fully compatible with standard OR instrumentation [169].

Besides standard RGB imaging, powerful solutions include barrow band imaging, which is an optical technique where a filtered light enhances the visualization of epithelial and subepithelial microvascular patterns [170]. This technique exploits the physical property that the depth of penetration of light is dependent on its wavelength. Narrow-Band Imaging (NBI) filters select the blue and green light with wavelengths of 415 and 540 nm, respectively, that correspond to the peaks of absorption of hemoglobin. These filtered wavelengths penetrate, respectively, the epithelium, thus highlighting the capillary network and the deeper levels, enhancing the subepithelial vessels.

11

Technological advancements. Within this context, Multi-HyperSpectral Imaging (MHSI) has drawn the attention of the medical-imaging community, even if its use inside the OR is still limited. [171] MHSI enables to capture both spatial and spectral information on structures. MHSI provides images that generally have dozens (multispectral) or hundred (hyperspectral) of channels, each corresponding to the reflection of light within a certain wavelength band [172]. Multispectral bands are usually optimized to encode the informative content which is relevant for a specific application [173]. Similarly to NBI systems, the measured reflectance spectrum is influenced by the optical properties of tissues, including the concentration of absorbers, such as hemoglobin, and scatterers, such as cells or structural connective tissues. However, MHSI allows higher resolution than NBI and often guarantees more accurate tissue analysis [172, 93].

As a natural evolution of MHSI, Multi-HyperSpectral Fluorescence Imaging (MHSFI) is also becoming more and more spread [174, 175, 176]. By combining MHSI and fluorescence molecular techniques (mostly based on fluorescein/fluorescein isothiocyanate or indocyanine green molecules), MHSFI is particularly suitable when dealing with tissues with multiple fluorescent labels that, however, have similar color and texture appearance (according to the human eye) and are localized in spatially overlapping areas.

Recently, fluorescence spectroscopy provided by 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) is showing promising results in assisting neurosurgeons during tumor resection. On this regard, studies were conducted to compare 5-ALA and iMRI, and the impact of a combined usage of these techniques [177, 178].

Large interest is today given to the development of near-infrared fluorescent probes for tumor margin assessment intraoperatively [179] [180] [181] [182]. Fluorescent probes may allow to detect lesions at an early stage, where conventional imaging may fail, lowering patients morbidity and mortality.

Label-free fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIm) is a novel surgical-guidance technique, which relies only on tissue autofluorescence, without requiring exogenous contrast agents. By exploiting time-resolved measurements, FLIm overcomes the limitations of steady-state fluorescence, where non-uniform tissue illumination, and variable presence of endogenous absorbers may interfere with the fluorescence signal of interests. Preliminary results are already available for applications in surgery. [183] [184] [185] [186]

*Limitations and open issues.* With advances in high-energy pulsed lasers, hardware cameras, image analysis methods, and computational power, many

exciting applications in the medical field have been proposed in the endo/laparo-scopic fields.

MHSI and MHSFI offer a straightforward measurement of tissue characteristics (e.g. texture and perfusion), as long as the visualized tissue is close to the surface. This actually limits the use of MHSI/MHSFI when deeper tissues need to be investigated.

When dealing with steady-state fluorescence imaging (i.e., FLIm is excluded here), a further potential issue is represented by tissue autofluorescence, which is present in many living, non-cancerous cells. The autofluorescence causes non-specific background fluorescence, which may interact with the true cancer-specific fluorescent signal, and limit the imaging quality. With FLIm, this issue is not present. Open issues here deal with tissue motion and acquisition setup preparation, which may still require heavy time-consuming manual correction.

Selecting the most MHSI and MHSFI informative spectral bands and the most discriminative fluorescence molecules is crucial to allow the best visualization of structure of interests [173, 174]. MHSI/MHSFI systems could cover ultraviolet (200 to 400 nm), visible (400 to 780 nm), near (780 to 2500 nm) and mid infrared (2500 to 25000), depending on applications. However, visible and near infrared are the most widely used spectral ranges [9].

A further issue is related to real-time data acquisition. Depending on hardware set-up and number of recorded spectral channels, acquisition time can range from a few seconds to several minutes. This could lead to misalignment in the multispectral stacks, resulting in noisy and blurred multispectral images, where the same pixel measured at different band could correspond to different tissues. Lens distortion and noisy image borders should also be considered when visualizing and processing multispectral data. Considering the high number of image channels, computational-time issues arise also when processing MHSI/MHSFI data, e.g. for segmentation purposes.

The translation of MHSI/MHSFI into the actual clinical practice is still limited by costs, even if now cheaper and cheaper sensors are becoming available. Moreover, the general lack of surgical guidelines and training could explain the slow introduction of MHSI/MHSFI in the OR.

#### 7 Photoacoustic imaging

PA imaging is emerging as a new biomedical imaging modality based on the photoacoustic effect. In photoacoustic imaging, non-ionizing laser pulses are delivered into biological tissues (when radio frequency pulses are used, the technology is referred to as thermoacoustic imaging). Some of the delivered energy will be absorbed and converted into heat, leading to transient thermoelastic expansion and thus wideband (i.e. MHz) ultrasonic emission. The generated ultrasonic waves are detected by ultrasonic transducers and then analyzed to produce images.

Technological advancements. Thus, PA is naturally a 3D imaging modality. To lower costs and acquisition time associated to volumetric US detectors, other strategies can be used, such as using 2D US detectors focused on a plane or spherically-focused US detectors for sampling one point in the FoV at a time [187].

Technological advancements in parallel detection and fast tuning of optical parametric oscillators allowed real-time multispectral PA, pushing its use in the clinical practice [188, 189, 190].

Limitation and open issues. PA imaging is evolving fast but, although many exciting applications have been proposed in the medical field, large clinical trials are still lacking. One relevant issue is the PA signal attenuation, which prevents using this technology for imaging small and deep tissues. Hard tissue imaging (e.g. human brain imaging) is also prevented due to aberration processes of US wave-fronts.

#### 8 Nuclear medicine

Nuclear medicine based imaging provides information about the metabolism and functionality of tissues and organs, rather than anatomical details. It exploits the possibility to mark with a radioactive substances a given molecule involved into a physiological/pathological process. The obtained compound (also named radiopharmaceutical) is administered to the patient and then, by directly tracking the signal emitted by the radioactive element, functional details of the tissues can be revealed (both in 2D and in 3D).

As well as other imaging modalities, also nuclear medicine has been used to provide intraoperative information to the surgeon [191]. In such a scenario, however, in room devices could significantly differ from diagnostic scanners. In fact, for intraoperative applications, 2D images are usually obtained by a hand-held probe. In addition, by combining localization system and 2D hand probe, it is possible to extract intraoperative volumetric representation of the radiopharmaceutical distribution [192].

Technological advancements. Over the years, the main technological advancements in this field were about the detector (commonly called "gamma camera"). Similarly to the diagnostic scanners, also the hand probe devices relies on detectors that can be classified as belonging to two different classes: scintillators (such as NaI(Tl) and bismuth germinate (BGO)) and semiconductors (Cadmium-Zinc-Telluride (CZT)). Both solutions offer advantages and disadvantages and both have been commercially used [193].

Limitations and open issues. Although nuclear medicine probes can provide intra-operative information about tissues metabolism and lead to more accurate surgery procedure, some drawbacks still remains. Such limitations are mainly related to the physical working principle behind this modality. In particular, the main disadvantages are:

- Patient and operators are exposed to ionizing radiation.
- The system generates images with limited spatial and temporal resolution, low SNR and small FoV.

#### 9 Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy has emerged as a potential tool for detecting biochemical differences between cancerous and healthy tissue, improving the accuracy of tumor surgery since it is fast, non-destructive and non-invasive [194, 11].

In this modality, a laser light interacts with tissue sample and, due to the Raman effect, a portion of this light undergoes to an energy shift. The amount of the energy shift is informative about molecular composition of the tissue, resulting into a full characterization of the sample.

Raman spectroscopy does not require any special tissue preparation and staining or labelling, thus being cheap and fast. Moreover, the biochemical interpretation of the biological samples assists in the objective and quantitative evaluation about the tissue, overcoming the issues of the more subjective histopathological diagnosis performed by a single or panel of pathologists.

Technological advancements. Recent advancements in the field include Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) [195] and Raman-Encoded Molecular Imaging (REMI) [196] that, by exploiting nanoparticles delivered to the sample, allow both to amplify Raman signal (by a factor of  $\sim 10$  orders of magnitude), and to speed up the acquisition process. On this regard, the design of ad-hoc nanoparticles, able to provide improved signal intensity, can further help Raman spetroscopy to better detect different types of tumor [197]. Finally, an unique triple-modality MRI/PA/Raman has been developed and tested [198].

Limitation and open issues. The main limitation and open issue of intraoperative Raman spetroscopy is about the safety in evaluating not excised patient's tissue. In fact, since both SERS and REMI require nanoparticles tags directly applied on the tissue to analyze, the toxicity of this procedure should be carefully investigated.

# 10 Hybrid surgical rooms and real-time/quasi real-time image processing.

With the increasing need of image guidance in surgery and therapy, most of the modern surgical rooms are equipped with multimodal imaging systems. These are referred as hybrid surgical (or operating) rooms (or theatres). The most advanced present a multi-room layout to allow the presence of high field iMRI and CT or Positron Emission Tomography (PET)/CT scanner. Hybrid surgical rooms offer the advantage of performing different procedures in the same place. This is also a safety benefit from the patient side: if something goes wrong during a planned intervention the lay-out can easily converted to a more complicated surgical procedure. From the surgeon and medical staff side, these rooms offer the state of the art advancements in terms of imaging integration, real time data extraction and, in some case, voice and hand gesture control.

A representative example is the Advanced Multimodal Image-Guided Operating (AMIGO) suite (see Fig. 2), at Brigham Women Hospital in Boston (USA), which was launched in 2011. AMIGO consists of three adjacent rooms. The central room is the OR and it is equipped with MRI-compatible anesthesia delivery and monitoring systems; a surgical microscope with near-infrared capability; surgical navigation systems that track handheld tools; a ceilingmounted C-Arm X-Ray system and 3D ultrasound devices. The side rooms include a high field (3T) iMRI scanner and a PET/CT scanner respectively. The iMRI can be moved into the OR by ceiling rails. The PET/CT is fixed and the patient is transferred from the OR through a shuttle system. Since its launch, more than 2000 (by January 2019) MIS procedures have been performed in AMIGO, mostly being neurosurgeries, ablations and biopsies [199].

The trend has pushed companies like Siemens Health Care<sup>1</sup> (Erlangen, Germany) and IMRIS<sup>2</sup> (Winnipeg, Canada) to invest on hybrid surgical rooms for different applications. Besides the advantages that a hybrid OR offers, its cost is still very high, ranging from 1 million to 4 million dollars, and it often requires re-restructuring the existing space. Moreover, with the fast technological advancement, these suites have to flexible to rapid changes and renovations. So, we can say that the future of OR is going to be hybrid, but still some year is required to have them as clinical practice.

On the other hand, taking advantage of image-processing algorithms, intraoperative images can be enriched by i) computing and showing supplementary information extracted from the image itself ii) merging different and complementary acquisitions of the same anatomical district.

A straightforward solution to achieve these goals is using augmented and virtual reality [200].

However, the low image quality of some intraoperative images and the real-time or quasi real-time processing to be guaranteed pose technological challenges. Intraoperative processing algorithms can be grouped as:

- Structure segmentation, identification and tracking:

- Anatomical structures, as well as surgical tools, can be automatically identified (segmented) or tracked over time to provide surgeons with decision support and context awareness.
- Exemplary applications include vertebrae [201] segmentation on fluoroscopy images, tissues and surgical tools tracking [202] in 3D US, vessel segmentation [203], organ segmentation and tumor margin assessment in laparoscopic imaging [204, 205, 206], surgical tool detection in video la-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.healthcare.siemens.com/clinical-specialities/surgery/

experience-hybrid-or/360-tour

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.imris.com



Fig. 2 Overview of AMIGO surgical room. Courtesy of the Surgical Planning Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, USA. Reprinted with permission from the authors.

paroscopy [207], cancerous tissue [208] and organs at risk [209, 210, 211], panorama stitching to enlarge the field of view [212], surface reconstruction in plastic surgery [213], identification in planning radiotherapy CT, brachitherapy [214] and biopsy [81] needles segmentation in iMRI, and pyramidal tract reconstruction [215].

- Physiological parameter estimation: medical images have been used also to esteem some physical and physiological parameters not directly measurable. Examples include iOUS-based flow estimation [216] and assessment of right ventricular function [217], oxygenation level assessment on MHSI [95].
- Workflow analysis: automatic methodologies, strongly relying on OR video images and able to recognize and to analyze each phase of the operation, could promptly and automatically detect possible incidents and/or document the whole procedure [218, 219].

Finally, in the last years, algorithms for converting one image modality to another one have been developed, in particular for radiotherapy application (e.g. MRI to CT, and CBCT to CT) [220, 221, 222, 223].

#### 11 Discussion and conclusion

Nowadays, several image modalities are available, each of which offers different characteristics (resolution, invasiveness, surgical compatibility, cost) and different contrast among tissues. The best modality to use for the specific use case is decided by the surgeon by considering and evaluating all the specific peculiarities of each of them. Depending on the chosen modality, adopting some preventive measure to guarantee the safety of both operators and patient could be necessary. This has also to be considered in robotic-assisted surgery scenarios. An increasing number of clinics have started to increment the type of imaging devices usable by physicians into the OR, especially in large hospital centers. Meanwhile, the last frontier of science in the field is represented by real-time processing of the acquired images to provide the surgeon with additional information. However, the majority of the developed technology is still for research purpose only, without any Food and Drug Administration and/or European Conformity approval.

The aim of this review was to provide the reader with an updated overview about currently available imaging modalities for intraoperative guidance (iOUS, X-ray, OCT, iMRI, video-endoscopy, NM, PA, and Raman spectroscopy). For each modality, physical working principle, technological advancements, and relevant pros and cons were reported and discussed, highlighting sample applications in several surgical scenarios. In view of such information, supported also by a survey about pioneering hybrid surgical rooms and real time image processing algorithms, the importance of image guided surgery for achieving better therapy come to light.

To conclude, we drew a path for helping students, scientist and health care worker, to guess, design and choose the surgical room of the future.

#### *Conflict of interest* All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Ethical standards* This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

*Informed consent* Informed consent was obtained from all individuals for whom identifying information is included in this article.

#### References

- A. Meola, F. Cutolo, M. Carbone, F. Cagnazzo, M. Ferrari, and V. Ferrari, "Augmented reality in neurosurgery: a systematic review," *Neuro*surgical Review, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 537–548, 2017.
- M. C. Hekman, M. Rijpkema, J. F. Langenhuijsen, O. C. Boerman, E. Oosterwijk, and P. F. Mulders, "Intraoperative imaging techniques to support complete tumor resection in partial nephrectomy," *European Urology Focus*, 2017.
- S. Chopra, A. M. Bove, and I. S. Gill, "Robotic partial nephrectomy: Advanced techniques and use of intraoperative imaging," in *Atlas of Robotic* Urologic Surgery, pp. 93–101, Springer, 2017.
- L. Maier-Hein, S. S. Vedula, S. Speidel, N. Navab, R. Kikinis, A. Park, M. Eisenmann, H. Feussner, G. Forestier, S. Giannarou, M. Hashizume,

D. Katic, H. Kenngott, M. Kranzfelder, A. Malpani, K. Marz, T. Neumuth, N. Padoy, C. Pugh, N. Schoch, S. Danail, R. Taylor, M. Wagner, G. D. Hager, and P. Jannin, "Surgical data science for next-generation interventions," *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 9, p. 691, 2017.

- M. A. Viergever, J. A. Maintz, S. Klein, K. Murphy, M. Staring, and J. P. Pluim, "A survey of medical image registration–Under review," 2016.
- S. Moccia, E. De Momi, S. El Hadji, and L. S. Mattos, "Blood vessel segmentation algorithms—review of methods, datasets and evaluation metrics," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 158, pp. 71– 91, 2018.
- G. Litjens, T. Kooi, B. E. Bejnordi, A. A. A. Setio, F. Ciompi, M. Ghafoorian, J. A. Van Der Laak, B. Van Ginneken, and C. I. Sánchez, "A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 42, pp. 60–88, 2017.
- O. M. Carrasco-Zevallos, C. Viehland, B. Keller, M. Draelos, A. N. Kuo, C. A. Toth, and J. A. Izatt, "Review of intraoperative optical coherence tomography: technology and applications," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1607–1637, 2017.
- G. Lu and B. Fei, "Medical hyperspectral imaging: a review," Journal of Biomedical Optics, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 010901, 2014.
- 10. J. Barkhausen, T. Kahn, G. A. Krombach, C. K. Kuhl, J. Lotz, D. Maintz, J. Ricke, S. O. Schoenberg, T. J. Vogl, and F. K. Wacker, "White paper: Interventional MRI: Current status and potential for development considering economic perspectives, part 1: General application," in *RöFo-Fortschritte auf dem Gebiet der Röntgenstrahlen und der bildgebenden Verfahren*, vol. 189, pp. 611–623, © Georg Thieme Verlag KG, 2017.
- I. P. Santos, E. M. Barroso, T. C. B. Schut, P. J. Caspers, C. G. van Lanschot, D.-H. Choi, M. F. van der Kamp, R. W. Smits, R. van Doorn, R. M. Verdijk, V. Noordhoek Hegt, J. von der Thüsen, C. H. M. van Deurzen, L. B. Koppert, J. L. H. van Leenders, P. C. Ewing-Graham, H. C. van Doorn, C. M. F. Dirven, M. B. Busstra, J. Hardillo, A. Sewnaik, I. ten Hove, H. Mast, D. A. Monserez, C. Meeuwis, T. Nijsten, E. B. Wolvius, R. J. Baatenburg de Jong, G. J. Puppels, and S. Koljenovic, "Raman spectroscopy for cancer detection and cancer surgery guidance: translation to the clinics," *Analyst*, vol. 142, no. 17, pp. 3025–3047, 2017.
- I. S. Alam, I. Steinberg, O. Vermesh, N. S. van den Berg, E. L. Rosenthal, G. M. van Dam, V. Ntziachristos, S. S. Gambhir, S. Hernot, and S. Rogalla, "Emerging intraoperative imaging modalities to improve surgical precision," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- M. Riva, C. Hennersperger, F. Milletari, A. Katouzian, F. Pessina, B. Gutierrez-Becker, A. Castellano, N. Navab, and L. Bello, "3D intraoperative ultrasound and MR image guidance: pursuing an ultrasoundbased management of brainshift to enhance neuronavigation," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 12, pp. 1711–1725, Oct 2017.

- 14. P. Farnia, A. Ahmadian, T. Shabanian, N. D. Serej, and J. Alirezaie, "Brain-shift compensation by non-rigid registration of intra-operative ultrasound images with preoperative mr images based on residual complexity," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 10, pp. 555–562, May 2015.
- S.-A. Ahmadi, F. Milletari, N. Navab, M. Schuberth, A. Plate, and K. Bötzel, "3D transcranial ultrasound as a novel intra-operative imaging technique for DBS surgery: a feasibility study," *International Journal* of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, vol. 10, pp. 891–900, Jun 2015.
- M. Imbault, D. Chauvet, J.-L. Gennisson, L. Capelle, and M. Tanter, "Intraoperative functional ultrasound imaging of human brain activity," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 7304, 2017.
- M. Mura, S. Parrini, G. Ciuti, V. Ferrari, C. Freschi, M. Ferrari, P. Dario, and A. Menciassi, "A computer-assisted robotic platform for vascular procedures exploiting 3D US-based tracking," *Computer Assisted Surgery*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 63–79, 2016.
- L. J. Brattain, P. M. Loschak, C. M. Tschabrunn, E. Anter, and R. D. Howe, "Instrument tracking and visualization for ultrasound catheter guided procedures," in Workshop on Augmented Environments for Computer-Assisted Interventions, pp. 41–50, Springer, 2014.
- H. M. Rahim, E. Shlofmitz, A. Gore, E. Hakemi, G. S. Mintz, A. Maehara, A. Jeremias, O. Ben-Yehuda, G. W. Stone, R. A. Shlofmitz, and Z. A. Ali, "Ivus- versus oct-guided coronary stent implantation: a comparison of intravascular imaging for stent optimization," *Current Cardiovascular Imaging Reports*, vol. 11, p. 34, Oct 2018.
- A. N. Alenezi and O. Karim, "Role of intra-operative contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) in robotic-assisted nephron-sparing surgery," *Journal* of Robotic Surgery, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2015.
- M. Antico, F. Sasazawa, L. Wu, A. Jaiprakash, J. Roberts, R. Crawford, A. K. Pandey, and D. Fontanarosa, "Ultrasound guidance in minimally invasive robotic procedures," *Medical Image Analysis*, 2019.
- D. Petrover and P. Richette, "Treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome: from ultrasonography to ultrasound guided carpal tunnel release," *Joint Bone Spine*, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 545–552, 2018.
- M. Sharma and M. Deogaonkar, "Accuracy and safety of targeting using intraoperative "O-arm" during placement of deep brain stimulation electrodes without electrophysiological recordings," *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, vol. 27, pp. 80–86, 2016.
- 24. K. J. Burchiel, S. McCartney, A. Lee, and A. M. Raslan, "Accuracy of deep brain stimulation electrode placement using intraoperative computed tomography without microelectrode recording," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 301–306, 2013.
- P. Barsa, R. Frőhlich, V. Beneš, and P. Suchomel, "Intraoperative portable CT-scanner based spinal navigation-a feasibility and safety study," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 156, no. 9, pp. 1807–1812, 2014.

- 26. P. Barsa, R. Fröhlich, M. Šercl, P. Buchvald, and P. Suchomel, "The intraoperative portable ct scanner-based spinal navigation: a viable option for instrumentation in the region of cervico-thoracic junction," *European Spine Journal*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1643–1650, 2016.
- 27. S. K. Dinesh, R. Tiruchelvarayan, and I. Ng, "A prospective study on the use of intraoperative computed tomography (iCT) for image-guided placement of thoracic pedicle screws," *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 838–844, 2012.
- D. L. Cooke, M. Levitt, L. Kim, D. Hallam, and B. Ghodke, "Transcranial access using fluoroscopic flat panel detector ct navigation," *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. E69–E70, 2011.
- 29. R. F. Labadie, R. Balachandran, J. H. Noble, G. S. Blachon, J. E. Mitchell, F. A. Reda, B. M. Dawant, and J. M. Fitzpatrick, "Minimally invasive image-guided cochlear implantation surgery: First report of clinical implementation," *The Laryngoscope*, vol. 124, no. 8, pp. 1915–1922, 2014.
- W. K. Wong, Y. Matsuwaki, K. Omura, and H. Moriyama, "Role of intraoperative ct-updates during image-guided endoscopic sinus surgery for sinonasal fibro-osseous lesions," *Auris Nasus Larynx*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 628–631, 2011.
- F. Ing, "Delivery of stents to target lesions: Techniques of intraoperative stent implantation and intraoperative angiograms," *Pediatric Cardiology*, vol. 26, pp. 260–266, Jun 2005.
- 32. J. Fitts, P. Ver Lee, P. Hofmaster, D. Malenka, and N. N. E. C. S. Group, "Fluoroscopy-guided femoral artery puncture reduces the risk of pci-related vascular complications," *Journal of interventional cardiology*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 273–278, 2008.
- 33. J. G. Schwartz, A. M. Neubauer, T. E. Fagan, N. J. Noordhoek, M. Grass, and J. D. Carroll, "Potential role of three-dimensional rotational angiography and c-arm ct for valvular repair and implantation," *The International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1205–1222, 2011.
- 34. H. G. Kenngott, M. Wagner, M. Gondan, F. Nickel, M. Nolden, A. Fetzer, J. Weitz, L. Fischer, S. Speidel, H.-P. Meinzer, D. Bockler, M. W. Buchler, and B. P. Muller-Stich, "Real-time image guidance in laparoscopic liver surgery: first clinical experience with a guidance system based on intraoperative ct imaging," *Surgical Endoscopy*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 933–940, 2014.
- 35. S. Schafer, Y. Otake, A. Uneri, D. J. Mirota, S. Nithiananthan, J. W. Stayman, W. Zbijewski, G. Kleinszig, R. Graumann, M. Sussman, and J. H. Siewerdsen, "High-performance C-arm cone-beam CT guidance of thoracic surgery," in *Medical Imaging 2012: Image-Guided Procedures, Robotic Interventions, and Modeling*, vol. 8316, p. 83161I, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2012.
- T. Simpfendörfer, C. Gasch, G. Hatiboglu, M. Müller, L. Maier-Hein, M. Hohenfellner, and D. Teber, "Intraoperative computed tomography

imaging for navigated laparoscopic renal surgery: first clinical experience," *Journal of Endourology*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1105–1111, 2016.

- 37. M. J. Zelefsky, M. Worman, G. N. Cohen, X. Pei, M. Kollmeier, J. Yamada, B. Cox, Z. Zhang, E. Bieniek, L. Dauer, and M. Zaider, "Real-time intraoperative computed tomography assessment of quality of permanent interstitial seed implantation for prostate cancer," *Urology*, vol. 76, no. 5, pp. 1138–1142, 2010.
- L. J. Lee, A. L. Damato, and A. N. Viswanathan, "Clinical outcomes of high-dose-rate interstitial gynecologic brachytherapy using real-time CT guidance," *Brachytherapy*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 303–310, 2013.
- C. Schichor, N. Terpolilli, J. Thorsteinsdottir, and J.-C. Tonn, "Intraoperative computed tomography in cranial neurosurgery," *Neurosurgery Clinics*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 595–602, 2017.
- R. B. Bell, "Computer planning and intraoperative navigation in orthognathic surgery," *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 592–605, 2011.
- D. A. Shaye, T. T. Tollefson, and E. B. Strong, "Use of intraoperative computed tomography for maxillofacial reconstructive surgery," *JAMA facial plastic surgery*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 113–119, 2015.
- 42. A. Rabie, A. M. Ibrahim, B. T. Lee, and S. J. Lin, "Use of intraoperative computed tomography in complex facial fracture reduction and fixation," *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1466–1467, 2011.
- T. J. Gieroba, G. I. Bain, and P. J. Cundy, "Review of the clinical use of fluoroscopy in hand surgery," *Hand Surgery*, vol. 20, no. 02, pp. 228–236, 2015.
- 44. C. Coste, Y. Asloum, P. Marcheix, P. Dijoux, J. Charissoux, and C. Mabit, "Percutaneous iliosacral screw fixation in unstable pelvic ring lesions: the interest of O-ARM CT-guided navigation," Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. S273–S278, 2013.
- 45. J. P. Sullivan, B. A. Warme, and B. R. Wolf, "Use of an o-arm intraoperative computed tomography scanner for closed reduction of posterior sternoclavicular dislocations," *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. e17–e20, 2012.
- B. Cunningham, K. Jackson, and G. Ortega, "Intraoperative CT in the assessment of posterior wall acetabular fracture stability," *Orthopedics*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. e328–e331, 2014.
- 47. P. Hahn, J. Migacz, R. O'Connell, R. S. Maldonado, J. A. Izatt, and C. A. Toth, "The use of optical coherence tomography in intraoperative ophthalmic imaging," *Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging Retina*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. S85–S94, 2011.
- 48. R. Ray, D. E. Barañano, J. A. Fortun, B. J. Schwent, B. E. Cribbs, C. S. Bergstrom, G. B. Hubbard III, and S. K. Srivastava, "Intraoperative microscope-mounted spectral domain optical coherence tomography for evaluation of retinal anatomy during macular surgery," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 118, no. 11, pp. 2212–2217, 2011.

- 49. L. B. Lee and S. K. Srivastava, "Intraoperative spectral-domain optical coherence tomography during complex retinal detachment repair," *Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging Retina*, 2011.
- C. I. Falkner-Radler, C. Glittenberg, S. Hagen, T. Benesch, and S. Binder, "Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for monitoring epiretinal membrane surgery," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 798–805, 2010.
- S. Siebelmann, C. Cursiefen, A. Lappas, and T. Dietlein, "Intraoperative optical coherence tomography enables noncontact imaging during canaloplasty," *Journal of Glaucoma*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 236–238, 2016.
- 52. S. Das, M. K. Kummelil, V. Kharbanda, V. Arora, S. Nagappa, R. Shetty, and B. K. Shetty, "Microscope integrated intraoperative spectral domain optical coherence tomography for cataract surgery: uses and applications," *Current Eye Research*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 643–652, 2016.
- 53. O. Carrasco-Zevallos, B. Keller, C. Viehland, L. Shen, G. Waterman, B. Todorich, C. Shieh, P. Hahn, S. Farsiu, A. Kuo, C. A. Toth, and J. A. Izatt, "Live volumetric (4D) visualization and guidance of in vivo human ophthalmic surgery with intraoperative optical coherence tomography," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, p. 31689, 2016.
- 54. F. Prati, L. Di Vito, G. Biondi-Zoccai, M. Occhipinti, A. La Manna, C. Tamburino, F. Burzotta, C. Trani, I. Porto, V. Ramazzotti, F. Imola, A. Manzoli, L. Materia, A. Cremonesi, and M. ALbertucci, "Angiography alone versus angiography plus optical coherence tomography to guide decision-making during percutaneous coronary intervention: the centro per la lotta contro l'infarto-optimisation of percutaneous coronary intervention (CLI-OPCI) study," *EuroIntervention*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 823–829, 2012.
- 55. F. Imola, M. T. Mallus, V. Ramazzotti, A. Manzoli, A. Pappalardo, A. Di Giorgio, M. Albertucci, and F. Prati, "Safety and feasibility of frequency domain optical coherence tomography to guide decision making in percutaneous coronary intervention," *EuroIntervention*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 575–581, 2010.
- 56. T. Kubo, Y. Ino, T. Tanimoto, H. Kitabata, A. Tanaka, and T. Akasaka, "Optical coherence tomography imaging in acute coronary syndromes," *Cardiology Research and Practice*, vol. 2011, 2011.
- 57. F. Alfonso, M. Paulo, N. Gonzalo, J. Dutary, P. Jimenez-Quevedo, V. Lennie, J. Escaned, C. Bañuelos, R. Hernandez, and C. Macaya, "Diagnosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection by optical coherence tomography," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 1073–1079, 2012.
- 58. N. Gonzalo, J. Escaned, F. Alfonso, C. Nolte, V. Rodriguez, P. Jimenez-Quevedo, C. Bañuelos, A. Fernández-Ortiz, E. Garcia, R. Hernandez-Antolin, and C. Macaya, "Morphometric assessment of coronary stenosis relevance with optical coherence tomography: a comparison with fractional flow reserve and intravascular ultrasound," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 1080–1089, 2012.

- G. Ferrante, P. Presbitero, R. Whitbourn, and P. Barlis, "Current applications of optical coherence tomography for coronary intervention," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 165, no. 1, pp. 7–16, 2013.
- S. Sommerey, N. Al Arabi, R. Ladurner, C. Chiapponi, H. Stepp, K. K. Hallfeldt, and J. K. Gallwas, "Intraoperative optical coherence tomography imaging to identify parathyroid glands," *Surgical Endoscopy*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 2698–2704, 2015.
- C. R. Chu, A. Williams, D. Tolliver, C. K. Kwoh, S. Bruno III, and J. J. Irrgang, "Clinical optical coherence tomography of early articular cartilage degeneration in patients with degenerative meniscal tears," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 1412–1420, 2010.
- 62. R. M. Nolan, S. G. Adie, M. Marjanovic, E. J. Chaney, F. A. South, G. L. Monroy, N. D. Shemonski, S. J. Erickson-Bhatt, R. L. Shelton, A. J. Bower, D. G. Simpson, K. A. Cradock, Z. G. Liu, P. S. Ray, and S. A. Boppart, "Intraoperative optical coherence tomography for assessing human lymph nodes for metastatic cancer," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 144, 2016.
- 63. F. T. Nguyen, A. M. Zysk, E. J. Chaney, S. G. Adie, J. G. Kotynek, U. J. Oliphant, F. J. Bellafiore, K. M. Rowland, P. A. Johnson, and S. A. Boppart, "Optical coherence tomography: the intraoperative assessment of lymph nodes in breast cancer," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 63–70, 2010.
- 64. M. T. Bus, B. G. Muller, D. M. de Bruin, D. J. Faber, G. M. Kamphuis, T. G. van Leeuwen, T. M. de Reijke, and J. J. de la Rosette, "Volumetric in vivo visualization of upper urinary tract tumors using optical coherence tomography: a pilot study," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 190, no. 6, pp. 2236–2242, 2013.
- 65. J. Coburger, A. Merkel, M. Scherer, F. Schwartz, F. Gessler, C. Roder, A. Pala, R. König, L. Bullinger, G. Nagel, C. Jungk, S. Bisdas, A. Nabavi, O. Ganslandt, V. Seifert, M. Tatagiba, C. Senft, M. Mehdorn, A. W. Unterberg, K. Rossler, and C. Rainer Wirtz, "Low-grade glioma surgery in intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging: results of a multicenter retrospective assessment of the german study group for intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 775–786, 2015.
- P. Li, R. Qian, C. Niu, and X. Fu, "Impact of intraoperative mri-guided resection on resection and survival in patient with gliomas: a metaanalysis," *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 621– 630, 2017.
- 67. S. Chakraborty, S. Zavarella, S. Salas, and M. Schulder, "Intraoperative mri for resection of intracranial meningiomas.," *Journal of Experimental Therapeutics & Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2017.
- M. Buchfelder and S.-M. Schlaffer, "Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging for pituitary adenomas," in *Imaging in Endocrine Disorders*, vol. 45, pp. 121–132, Karger Publishers, 2016.

- R. Ashour, S. Reintjes, M. S. Park, S. Sivakanthan, H. van Loveren, and S. Agazzi, "Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging in skull base surgery: a review of 71 consecutive cases," *World Neurosurgery*, vol. 93, pp. 183–190, 2016.
- A. F. Choudhri, A. Siddiqui, P. Klimo, and F. A. Boop, "Intraoperative mri in pediatric brain tumors," *Pediatric Radiology*, vol. 45, pp. 397–405, Sep 2015.
- D. T. Ginat, B. Swearingen, W. Curry, D. Cahill, J. Madsen, and P. W. Schaefer, "3 tesla intraoperative mri for brain tumor surgery," *Journal* of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1357–1365, 2014.
- A. Mohyeldin and J. B. Elder, "Stereotactic biopsy platforms with intraoperative imaging guidance," *Neurosurgery Clinics*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 465–475, 2017.
- 73. K. Roessler, A. Hofmann, B. Sommer, P. Grummich, R. Coras, B. S. Kasper, H. M. Hamer, I. Blumcke, H. Stefan, C. Nimsky, and M. Buchfelder, "Resective surgery for medically refractory epilepsy using intra-operative MRI and functional neuronavigation: the erlangen experience of 415 patients," *Neurosurgical Focus*, vol. 40, no. 3, p. E15, 2016.
- 74. N. M. Warsi, O. Lasry, A. Farah, C. Saint-Martin, J. L. Montes, J. Atkinson, J.-P. Farmer, and R. W. Dudley, "3-T intraoperative MRI (iMRI) for pediatric epilepsy surgery," *Child's Nervous System*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 2415–2422, 2016.
- Z. Cui, L. Pan, H. Song, X. Xu, B. Xu, X. Yu, and Z. Ling, "Intraoperative mri for optimizing electrode placement for deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in parkinson disease," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 62–69, 2016.
- 76. M. Jakobs, E. Krasniqi, M. Kloß, J.-O. Neumann, B. Campos, A. W. Unterberg, and K. L. Kiening, "Intraoperative stereotactic magnetic resonance imaging for deep brain stimulation electrode planning in patients with movement disorders," *World Neurosurgery*, vol. 119, pp. e801–e808, 2018.
- 77. Z. Guo, M. C.-W. Leong, H. Su, K.-W. Kwok, D. T.-M. Chan, and W.-S. Poon, "Techniques for stereotactic neurosurgery: Beyond the frame, toward the intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging–guided and robot-assisted approaches," World Neurosurgery, vol. 116, pp. 77–87, 2018.
- A. Tzifa, T. Schaeffter, and R. Razavi, "MR imaging-guided cardiovascular interventions in young children," *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 117–128, 2012.
- C. Eitel, G. Hindricks, M. Grothoff, M. Gutberlet, and P. Sommer, "Catheter ablation guided by real-time MRI," *Current Cardiology Reports*, vol. 16, no. 8, p. 511, 2014.
- 80. O. Wegelin, H. H. van Melick, L. Hooft, J. R. Bosch, H. B. Reitsma, J. O. Barentsz, and D. M. Somford, "Comparing three different techniques for magnetic resonance imaging-targeted prostate biopsies: a systematic review of in-bore versus magnetic resonance imaging-transrectal ultrasound fusion versus cognitive registration. is there a preferred technique?," Eu-

ropean Urology, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 517–531, 2017.

- 81. A. Mehrtash, M. Ghafoorian, G. Pernelle, A. Ziaei, F. G. Heslinga, K. Tuncali, A. Fedorov, R. Kikinis, C. M. Tempany, W. M. Wells, P. Abolmaesumi, and T. Kapur, "Automatic needle segmentation and localization in MRI with 3D convolutional neural networks: Application to MRItargeted prostate biopsy," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 2018.
- T. Kapur, J. Egger, A. Damato, E. J. Schmidt, and A. N. Viswanathan, "3-T MR-guided brachytherapy for gynecologic malignancies," *Magnetic resonance imaging*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1279–1290, 2012.
- K. Ahrar, S. H. Sabir, S. M. Yevich, R. A. Sheth, J. U. Ahrar, A. L. Tam, and J. R. Stafford, "MRI-guided interventions in musculoskeletal system," *Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 129– 139, 2018.
- R. B. Sequeiros, J.-j. Sinikumpu, R. Ojala, J. Järvinen, and J. Fritz, "Pediatric musculoskeletal interventional mri," *Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 39–44, 2018.
- F. Pediconi, F. Marzocca, B. Cavallo Marincola, and A. Napoli, "MRIguided treatment in the breast," *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1479–1488, 2018.
- M.-C. Chevrier, J. David, M. El Khoury, L. Lalonde, M. Labelle, and I. Trop, "Breast biopsies under magnetic resonance imaging guidance: challenges of an essential but imperfect technique," *Current problems in diagnostic radiology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 193–204, 2016.
- 87. D. R. King, W. Li, J. J. Squiers, R. Mohan, E. Sellke, W. Mo, X. Zhang, W. Fan, J. M. DiMaio, and J. E. Thatcher, "Surgical wound debridement sequentially characterized in a porcine burn model with multispectral imaging," *Burns*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 1478–1487, 2015.
- J. E. Thatcher, J. J. Squiers, S. C. Kanick, D. R. King, Y. Lu, Y. Wang, R. Mohan, E. W. Sellke, and J. M. DiMaio, "Imaging techniques for clinical burn assessment with a focus on multispectral imaging," *Advances* in Wound Care, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 360–378, 2016.
- 89. J. E. Thatcher, W. Li, Y. Rodriguez-Vaqueiro, J. J. Squiers, W. Mo, Y. Lu, K. D. Plant, E. Sellke, D. R. King, W. Fan, J. A. Martinez-Lorenzo, and J. M. DiMaio, "Multispectral and photoplethysmography optical imaging techniques identify important tissue characteristics in an animal model of tangential burn excision," *Journal of Burn Care & Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 38–52, 2016.
- 90. H. Fabelo, S. Ortega, R. Lazcano, D. Madroñal, G. M Callicó, E. Juárez, R. Salvador, D. Bulters, H. Bulstrode, A. Szolna, J. F. Pineiro, C. Sosa, A. J. O'Shanahan, S. Bisshopp, M. Hernandez, J. Morera, D. Ravi, R. Kiran, A. Vega, A. Baez-Quevedo, G.-Z. Yang, B. Stanciulescu, and R. Sarmiento, "An intraoperative visualization system using hyperspectral imaging to aid in brain tumor delineation," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 430, 2018.
- 91. S. Ohayon, A. Caravaca-Aguirre, R. Piestun, and J. J. DiCarlo, "Minimally invasive multimode optical fiber microendoscope for deep brain

fluorescence imaging," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1492–1509, 2018.

- 92. L. Ayala, S. Wirkert, M. Herrera, A. Hernández-Aguilera, A. Vermuri, E. Santos, and L. Maier-Hein, "Multispectral imaging enables visualization of spreading depolarizations in gyrencephalic brain," in *Bildverarbeitung für die Medizin 2019*, pp. 244–244, Springer, 2019.
- 93. S. Moccia, S. J. Wirkert, H. Kenngott, A. S. Vemuri, M. Apitz, B. Mayer, E. De Momi, L. S. Mattos, and L. Maier-Hein, "Uncertainty-aware organ classification for surgical data science applications in laparoscopy," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 2018.
- 94. R. Kumashiro, K. Konishi, T. Chiba, T. Akahoshi, S. Nakamura, M. Murata, M. Tomikawa, T. Matsumoto, Y. Maehara, and M. Hashizume, "Integrated endoscopic system based on optical imaging and hyperspectral data analysis for colorectal cancer detection," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 3925–3932, 2016.
- 95. S. J. Wirkert, H. Kenngott, B. Mayer, P. Mietkowski, M. Wagner, P. Sauer, N. T. Clancy, D. S. Elson, and L. Maier-Hein, "Robust near realtime estimation of physiological parameters from megapixel multispectral images with inverse monte carlo and random forest regression," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 909–917, 2016.
- 96. S. J. Wirkert, A. S. Vemuri, H. G. Kenngott, S. Moccia, M. Götz, B. F. Mayer, K. H. Maier-Hein, D. S. Elson, and L. Maier-Hein, "Physiological parameter estimation from multispectral images unleashed," in *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pp. 134–141, Springer, 2017.
- 97. N. T. Clancy, S. Arya, D. Stoyanov, M. Singh, G. B. Hanna, and D. S. Elson, "Intraoperative measurement of bowel oxygen saturation using a multispectral imaging laparoscope," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 4179–4190, 2015.
- 98. K. J. Zuzak, R. P. Francis, E. F. Wehner, M. Litorja, J. A. Cadeddu, and E. H. Livingston, "Active DLP hyperspectral illumination: a noninvasive, in vivo, system characterization visualizing tissue oxygenation at near video rates," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 83, no. 19, pp. 7424–7430, 2011.
- 99. M. S. Holzer, S. L. Best, N. Jackson, A. Thapa, G. V. Raj, J. A. Cadeddu, and K. J. Zuzak, "Assessment of renal oxygenation during partial nephrectomy using hyperspectral imaging," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 400–404, 2011.
- 100. N. T. Clancy, S. Saso, D. Stoyanov, V. Sauvage, D. J. Corless, M. Boyd, D. E. Noakes, M.-Y. Thum, S. Ghaem-Maghami, J. R. Smith, and D. S. Elson, "Multispectral imaging of organ viability during uterine transplantation surgery in rabbits and sheep," *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, vol. 21, no. 10, p. 106006, 2016.
- 101. S. Saso, N. T. Clancy, B. P. Jones, T. Bracewell-Milnes, M. Al-Memar, E. M. Cannon, S. Ahluwalia, J. Yazbek, M.-Y. Thum, T. Bourne, D. S. Elson, J. R. Smith, and S. Ghaem-Maghami, "Use of biomedical pho-

tonics in gynecological surgery: a uterine transplantation model," *Future Science OA*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. FSO286, 2018.

- 102. S. Nandy, A. Mostafa, P. D. Kumavor, M. Sanders, M. Brewer, and Q. Zhu, "Characterizing optical properties and spatial heterogeneity of human ovarian tissue using spatial frequency domain imaging," *Journal* of Biomedical Optics, vol. 21, no. 10, p. 101402, 2016.
- 103. J. Lin, N. T. Clancy, Y. Hu, J. Qi, T. Tatla, D. Stoyanov, L. Maier-Hein, and D. S. Elson, "Endoscopic depth measurement and super-spectralresolution imaging," in *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pp. 39–47, Springer, 2017.
- 104. N. S. van den Berg, T. Buckle, G. H. KleinJan, H. G. van der Poel, and F. W. van Leeuwen, "Multispectral fluorescence imaging during robot-assisted laparoscopic sentinel node biopsy: a first step towards a fluorescence-based anatomic roadmap," *European Urology*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 110–117, 2017.
- 105. L. M. Crane, G. Themelis, R. G. Pleijhuis, N. J. Harlaar, A. Sarantopoulos, H. J. Arts, A. G. van der Zee, N. Vasilis, and G. M. van Dam, "Intraoperative multispectral fluorescence imaging for the detection of the sentinel lymph node in cervical cancer: a novel concept," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1043–1049, 2011.
- 106. G. M. Van Dam, G. Themelis, L. M. Crane, N. J. Harlaar, R. G. Pleijhuis, W. Kelder, A. Sarantopoulos, J. S. De Jong, H. J. Arts, A. G. Van Der Zee, J. Bart, P. S. Low, and V. Ntziachristos, "Intraoperative tumor-specific fluorescence imaging in ovarian cancer by folate receptor- $\alpha$  targeting: first in-human results," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 10, p. 1315, 2011.
- 107. S. Mascharak, B. J. Baird, and F. C. Holsinger, "Detecting oropharyngeal carcinoma using multispectral, narrow-band imaging and machine learning," *The Laryngoscope*, 2018.
- 108. R. Pike, G. Lu, D. Wang, Z. G. Chen, and B. Fei, "A minimum spanning forest-based method for noninvasive cancer detection with hyperspectral imaging.," *IEEE Transactions on Biomededical Engineering*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 653–663, 2016.
- 109. G. Lu, L. Halig, D. Wang, Z. G. Chen, and B. Fei, "Hyperspectral imaging for cancer surgical margin delineation: registration of hyperspectral and histological images," in *Medical Imaging 2014: Image-Guided Procedures*, *Robotic Interventions, and Modeling*, vol. 9036, p. 90360S, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2014.
- 110. G. Lu, D. Wang, X. Qin, L. Halig, S. Muller, H. Zhang, A. Chen, B. W. Pogue, Z. G. Chen, and B. Fei, "Framework for hyperspectral image processing and quantification for cancer detection during animal tumor surgery," *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, vol. 20, no. 12, p. 126012, 2015.
- 111. A. Ray, X. Wang, Y.-E. K. Lee, H. J. Hah, G. Kim, T. Chen, D. A. Orringer, O. Sagher, X. Liu, and R. Kopelman, "Targeted blue nanoparticles as photoacoustic contrast agent for brain tumor delineation," *Nano Research*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 1163–1173, 2011.

- 112. M. A. L. Bell, A. K. Ostrowski, K. Li, P. Kazanzides, and E. M. Boctor, "Localization of transcranial targets for photoacoustic-guided endonasal surgeries," *Photoacoustics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 78–87, 2015.
- 113. J. Yao and L. V. Wang, "Photoacoustic brain imaging: from microscopic to macroscopic scales," *Neurophotonics*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 011003, 2014.
- 114. P. van den Berg, K. Daoudi, and W. Steenbergen, "Review of photoacoustic flow imaging: its current state and its promises," *Photoacoustics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 89–99, 2015.
- 115. V. Ermolayev, X. L. Dean-Ben, S. Mandal, V. Ntziachristos, and D. Razansky, "Simultaneous visualization of tumour oxygenation, neovascularization and contrast agent perfusion by real-time three-dimensional optoacoustic tomography," *European Radiology*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1843– 1851, 2016.
- 116. R. Li, P. Wang, L. Lan, F. P. Lloyd, C. J. Goergen, S. Chen, and J.-X. Cheng, "Assessing breast tumor margin by multispectral photoacoustic tomography," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1273–1281, 2015.
- 117. G. Diot, S. Metz, A. Noske, E. Liapis, B. Schroeder, S. V. Ovsepian, R. Meier, E. J. Rummeny, and V. Ntziachristos, "Multi-spectral optoacoustic tomography (msot) of human breast cancer.," *Clinical Cancer Research*, pp. clincanres–3200, 2017.
- 118. A. Dima, J. Gateau, J. Claussen, D. Wilhelm, and V. Ntziachristos, "Optoacoustic imaging of blood perfusion: techniques for intraoperative tissue viability assessment," *Journal of Biophotonics*, vol. 6, no. 6-7, pp. 485– 492, 2013.
- 119. M. Allard, J. Shubert, and M. A. L. Bell, "Feasibility of photoacousticguided teleoperated hysterectomies," *Journal of Medical Imaging*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 021213, 2018.
- 120. M. A. L. Bell, X. Guo, D. Y. Song, and E. M. Boctor, "Transurethral light delivery for prostate photoacoustic imaging," *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, vol. 20, no. 3, p. 036002, 2015.
- 121. E. Ozkan and A. Eroglu, "The utility of intraoperative handheld gamma camera for detection of sentinel lymph nodes in melanoma," *Nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 318–320, 2015.
- 122. D. Ghosh, N. V. Michalopoulos, T. Davidson, F. Wickham, N. R. Williams, and M. R. Keshtgar, "Sentinel node detection in early breast cancer with intraoperative portable gamma camera: Uk experience," *The Breast*, vol. 32, pp. 53–59, 2017.
- 123. C. Bluemel, K. Herrmann, A. Kübler, A. K. Buck, E. Geissinger, V. Wild, S. Hartmann, C. Lapa, C. Linz, and U. Müller-Richter, "Intraoperative 3d imaging improves sentinel lymph node biopsy in oral cancer," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2257–2264, 2014.
- 124. L. Vermeeren, R. A. V. Olmos, W. M. C. Klop, A. J. Balm, and M. W. van den Brekel, "A portable γ-camera for intraoperative detection of sentinel nodes in the head and neck region," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*,

vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 700–703, 2010.

- 125. L. Vermeeren, W. Meinhardt, A. Bex, H. G. van der Poel, W. V. Vogel, C. A. Hoefnagel, S. Horenblas, and R. A. V. Olmos, "Paraaortic sentinel lymph nodes: toward optimal detection and intraoperative localization using spect/ct and intraoperative real-time imaging," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 376–382, 2010.
- 126. L. Vermeeren, R. A. V. Olmos, W. Meinhardt, and S. Horenblas, "Intraoperative imaging for sentinel node identification in prostate carcinoma: its use in combination with other techniques," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 741–744, 2011.
- 127. Y. W. Wang, S. Kang, A. Khan, P. Q. Bao, and J. T. Liu, "In vivo multiplexed molecular imaging of esophageal cancer via spectral endoscopy of topically applied SERS nanoparticles," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 3714–3723, 2015.
- 128. N. P. Reder, S. Kang, A. K. Glaser, Q. Yang, M. A. Wall, S. H. Javid, S. M. Dintzis, and J. T. Liu, "Raman-encoded molecular imaging with topically applied SERS nanoparticles for intraoperative guidance of lumpectomy," *Cancer Research*, vol. 77, no. 16, pp. 4506–4516, 2017.
- 129. G. Thomas, T.-Q. Nguyen, I. Pence, B. Caldwell, M. O'Connor, J. Giltnane, M. Sanders, A. Grau, I. Meszoely, M. Hooks, M. C. Kelley, and A. Mahadevan-Jansen, "Evaluating feasibility of an automated 3dimensional scanner using Raman spectroscopy for intraoperative breast margin assessment," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 13548, 2017.
- 130. E. Garai, S. Sensarn, C. L. Zavaleta, N. O. Loewke, S. Rogalla, M. J. Mandella, S. A. Felt, S. Friedland, J. T. Liu, S. S. Gambhir, and C. H. Contag, "A real-time clinical endoscopic system for intraluminal, multiplexed imaging of surface-enhanced Raman scattering nanoparticles," *PloS One*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. e0123185, 2015.
- 131. F. M. Abu-Zidan, A. F. Hefny, and P. Corr, "Clinical ultrasound physics," Journal of Emergencies, Trauma and Shock, vol. 4, no. 4, p. 501, 2011.
- 132. Q. Huang and Z. Zeng, "A review on real-time 3D ultrasound imaging technology," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2017, 2017.
- D. Becker, T. Wray, and J. Hart, "Ultrasonic intracavity probe for 3D imaging," Nov. 7 2017. US Patent 9,808,221.
- 134. D. De Lorenzo, A. Vaccarella, G. Khreis, H. Moennich, G. Ferrigno, and E. De Momi, "Accurate calibration method for 3D freehand ultrasound probe using virtual plane," *Medical Physics*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 6710– 6720, 2011.
- 135. K. Mathiassen, J. E. Fjellin, K. Glette, P. K. Hol, and O. J. Elle, "An ultrasound robotic system using the commercial robot ur5," *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, vol. 3, p. 1, 2016.
- 136. R. Prevost, M. Salehi, S. Jagoda, N. Kumar, J. Sprung, A. Ladikos, R. Bauer, O. Zettinig, and W. Wein, "3D freehand ultrasound without external tracking using deep learning," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 48, pp. 187 – 202, 2018.

- 137. Z. Chen and Q. Huang, "Real-time freehand 3d ultrasound imaging," Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 74–83, 2018.
- 138. P. Suetens, *Fundamentals of medical imaging*. Cambridge university press, 2002.
- 139. J. Seco, M. Oumano, N. Depauw, M. F. Dias, R. P. Teixeira, and M. F. Spadea, "Characterizing the modulation transfer function (mtf) of proton/carbon radiography using Monte Carlo simulations," *Medical Physics*, vol. 40, no. 9, 2013.
- 140. M. F. Spadea, A. Fassi, P. Zaffino, M. Riboldi, G. Baroni, N. Depauw, and J. Seco, "Contrast-enhanced proton radiography for patient set-up by using x-ray ct prior knowledge," *International Journal of Radiation* Oncology\* Biology\* Physics, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 628–636, 2014.
- 141. M. F. Spadea, B. Tagaste, M. Riboldi, E. Preve, D. Alterio, G. Piperno, C. Garibaldi, R. Orecchia, A. Pedotti, and G. Baroni, "Intra-fraction setup variability: IR optical localization vs. X-ray imaging in a hypofractionated patient population," *Radiation Oncology*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 38, 2011.
- 142. J. Boda-Heggemann, J. Fleckenstein, F. Lohr, H. Wertz, M. Nachit, M. Blessing, D. Stsepankou, I. Lob, B. Kupper, A. Kavanagh, V. N. Hansen, M. Brada, F. Wenz, and H. McNair, "Multiple breath-hold CBCT for online image guided radiotherapy of lung tumors: simulation with a dynamic phantom and first patient data," *Radiotherapy and On*cology, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 309–316, 2011.
- 143. C. Papalazarou, G. J. Klop, M. T. Milder, J. P. Marijnissen, V. Gupta, B. J. Heijmen, J. J. Nuyttens, and M. S. Hoogeman, "Cyberknife with integrated ct-on-rails: System description and first clinical application for pancreas sbrt," *Medical Physics*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 4816–4827, 2017.
- 144. C. B. Saw, C. Gillette, C. A. Peters, and L. Koutcher, "Clinical implementation of radiosurgery using the helical tomotherapy unit," *Medical Dosimetry*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 284–290, 2018.
- 145. L. A. Jarvis, R. Zhang, D. J. Gladstone, S. Jiang, W. Hitchcock, O. D. Friedman, A. K. Glaser, M. Jermyn, and B. W. Pogue, "Cherenkov video imaging allows for the first visualization of radiation therapy in real time," *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*\* *Biology*\* *Physics*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 615–622, 2014.
- 146. J. M. Andreozzi, R. Zhang, D. J. Gladstone, B. B. Williams, A. K. Glaser, B. W. Pogue, and L. A. Jarvis, "Cherenkov imaging method for rapid optimization of clinical treatment geometry in total skin electron beam therapy," *Medical physics*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 993–1002, 2016.
- 147. K. Abul-Kasim, M. Söderberg, E. Selariu, M. Gunnarsson, M. Kherad, and A. Ohlin, "Optimization of radiation exposure and image quality of the cone-beam o-arm intraoperative imaging system in spinal surgery," *Clinical Spine Surgery*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 52–58, 2012.
- 148. K. A. Fetterly, V. Mathew, R. Lennon, M. R. Bell, D. R. Holmes Jr, and C. S. Rihal, "Radiation dose reduction in the invasive cardiovascular

laboratory: implementing a culture and philosophy of radiation safety," *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 866–873, 2012.

- 149. M. F. Spadea, J. Verburg, G. Baroni, and J. Seco, "Dosimetric assessment of a novel metal artifact reduction method in ct images," *Journal of applied clinical medical physics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 299–304, 2013.
- 150. R. Ning, X. Tang, and D. Conover, "X-ray scatter correction algorithm for cone beam ct imaging," *Medical Physics*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1195–1202, 2004.
- 151. L. Zhu, Y. Xie, J. Wang, and L. Xing, "Scatter correction for cone-beam ct in radiation therapy," *Medical Physics*, vol. 36, no. 6Part1, pp. 2258– 2268, 2009.
- 152. D. Huang, E. A. Swanson, C. P. Lin, J. S. Schuman, W. G. Stinson, W. Chang, M. R. Hee, T. Flotte, K. Gregory, and C. A. Puliafito, "Optical coherence tomography," *Science*, vol. 254, no. 5035, pp. 1178–1181, 1991.
- 153. J. P. Ehlers, A. Uchida, and S. K. Srivastava, "Intraoperative optical coherence tomography-compatible surgical instruments for real-time image-guided ophthalmic surgery," *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, pp. bjophthalmol-2017, 2017.
- 154. S.-H. Yun, G. J. Tearney, J. F. de Boer, N. Iftimia, and B. E. Bouma, "High-speed optical frequency-domain imaging," *Optics express*, vol. 11, no. 22, pp. 2953–2963, 2003.
- 155. W. Wieser, B. R. Biedermann, T. Klein, C. M. Eigenwillig, and R. Huber, "Multi-megahertz OCT: High quality 3D imaging at 20 million A-scans and 4.5 GVoxel per second," *Optics Express*, vol. 18, no. 14, pp. 14685– 14704, 2010.
- 156. S. Song, J. Xu, and R. K. Wang, "Long-range and wide field of view optical coherence tomography for in vivo 3D imaging of large volume object based on akinetic programmable swept source," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 4734–4748, 2016.
- 157. R. H. Hashemi, W. G. Bradley, and C. J. Lisanti, *MRI: The Basics*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2012.
- 158. M. Hlavac, C. R. Wirtz, and M.-E. Halatsch, "Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging," HNO, vol. 65, pp. 25–29, Jan 2017.
- 159. F. Iturri-Clavero, L. Galbarriatu-Gutierrez, A. Gonzalez-Uriarte, G. Tamayo-Medel, K. de Orte, A. Martinez-Ruiz, K. Castellon-Larios, and S. Bergese, ""low-field" intraoperative MRI: a new scenario, a new adaptation," *Clinical Radiology*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 1193–1198, 2016.
- 160. F. A. Jolesz, "Intraoperative imaging in neurosurgery: where will the future take us?," in *Intraoperative Imaging*, pp. 21–25, Springer, 2011.
- 161. S. Chopra, J. Rump, S. Schmidt, F. Streitparth, C. Seebauer, G. Schumacher, I. Van der Voort, and U. Teichgräber, "Imaging sequences for intraoperative MR-guided laparoscopic liver resection in 1.0-T high field open MRI," *European radiology*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2191–2196, 2009.
- D. A. Jaffray, "Image-guided radiotherapy: from current concept to future perspectives," *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, vol. 9, no. 12, p. 688,

2012.

- 163. J. Seco and M. F. Spadea, "Imaging in particle therapy: state of the art and future perspective," Acta Oncologica, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 1254–1258, 2015.
- 164. J. M. Pollard, Z. Wen, R. Sadagopan, J. Wang, and G. S. Ibbott, "The future of image-guided radiotherapy will be MR guided," *The British journal of radiology*, vol. 90, no. 1073, p. 20160667, 2017.
- 165. G. P. Liney, B. Whelan, B. Oborn, M. Barton, and P. Keall, "MRI-linear accelerator radiotherapy systems," *Clinical Oncology*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 686–691, 2018.
- 166. N. T. Sanghvi, R. Bihrle, and F. J. Fry, "Focussed ultrasound tissue treatment method," Oct. 14 1997. US Patent 5,676,692.
- 167. Y. Han, G. Y. Hou, S. Wang, and E. Konofagou, "High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) focal spot localization using harmonic motion imaging (HMI)," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 60, no. 15, p. 5911, 2015.
- 168. A. Diodato, A. Cafarelli, A. Schiappacasse, S. Tognarelli, G. Ciuti, and A. Menciassi, "Motion compensation with skin contact control for high intensity focused ultrasound surgery in moving organs," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 63, no. 3, p. 035017, 2018.
- 169. B. Tamadazte, A. Agustinos, P. Cinquin, G. Fiard, and S. Voros, "Multiview vision system for laparoscopy surgery," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 195–203, 2015.
- 170. S. Moccia, E. De Momi, M. Guarnaschelli, M. Savazzi, A. Laborai, L. Guastini, G. Peretti, and L. S. Mattos, "Confident texture-based laryngeal tissue classification for early stage diagnosis support," *Journal of Medical Imaging*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 034502, 2017.
- 171. N. T. Clancy, G. Jones, L. Maier-Hein, D. S. Elson, and D. Stoyanov, "Surgical spectral imaging," *Medical Image Analysis*, In press.
- 172. Q. Li, X. He, Y. Wang, H. Liu, D. Xu, and F. Guo, "Review of spectral imaging technology in biomedical engineering: achievements and challenges," *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, vol. 18, no. 10, p. 100901, 2013.
- 173. S. J. Wirkert, N. T. Clancy, D. Stoyanov, S. Arya, G. B. Hanna, H.-P. Schlemmer, P. Sauer, D. S. Elson, and L. Maier-Hein, "Endoscopic sheffield index for unsupervised in vivo spectral band selection," in *International Workshop on Computer-Assisted and Robotic Endoscopy*, pp. 110–120, Springer, 2014.
- 174. M. Koch and V. Ntziachristos, "Advancing surgical vision with fluorescence imaging," Annual Review of Medicine, vol. 67, pp. 153–164, 2016.
- 175. A. Majlesara, M. Golriz, M. Hafezi, A. Saffari, E. Stenau, L. Maier-Hein, B. P. Müller-Stich, and A. Mehrabi, "Indocyanine green fluorescence imaging in hepatobiliary surgery," *Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy*, vol. 17, pp. 208–215, 2017.
- 176. E. Wild, D. Teber, D. Schmid, T. Simpfendörfer, M. Müller, A.-C. Baranski, H. Kenngott, K. Kopka, and L. Maier-Hein, "Robust augmented reality guidance with fluorescent markers in laparoscopic surgery," *Inter-*

national Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 899–907, 2016.

- 177. D. Golub, J. Hyde, S. Dogra, J. Nicholson, K. A. Kirkwood, P. Gohel, S. Loftus, and T. H. Schwartz, "Intraoperative mri versus 5-ala in high-grade glioma resection: a network meta-analysis," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 1, no. aop, pp. 1–15, 2020.
- 178. J. Coburger and C. R. Wirtz, "Fluorescence guided surgery by 5-ala and intraoperative mri in high grade glioma: a systematic review," *Journal* of neuro-oncology, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 533–546, 2019.
- 179. E. M. Walsh, D. Cole, K. E. Tipirneni, K. I. Bland, N. Udayakumar, B. B. Kasten, S. L. Bevans, B. M. McGrew, J. J. Kain, Q. T. Nguyen, et al., "Fluorescence imaging of nerves during surgery," Annals of Surgery, vol. 270, no. 1, pp. 69–76, 2019.
- 180. W. S. Tummers, S. E. Miller, N. T. Teraphongphom, A. Gomez, I. Steinberg, D. M. Huland, S. Hong, S.-R. Kothapalli, A. Hasan, R. Ertsey, et al., "Intraoperative pancreatic cancer detection using tumor-specific multimodality molecular imaging," Annals of Surgical Oncology, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 1880–1888, 2018.
- 181. K. Tipirneni, E. Rosenthal, L. Moore, A. Haskins, N. Udayakumar, A. Jani, W. Carroll, A. Morlandt, M. Bogyo, J. Rao, et al., "Fluorescence imaging for cancer screening and surveillance," *Molecular Imaging* and *Biology*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 645–655, 2017.
- 182. S. E. Miller, W. S. Tummers, N. Teraphongphom, N. S. van den Berg, A. Hasan, R. D. Ertsey, S. Nagpal, L. D. Recht, E. D. Plowey, H. Vogel, *et al.*, "First-in-human intraoperative near-infrared fluorescence imaging of glioblastoma using cetuximab-IRDye800," *Journal of Neuro-oncology*, vol. 139, no. 1, pp. 135–143, 2018.
- 183. D. Gorpas, J. Phipps, J. Bec, D. Ma, S. Dochow, D. Yankelevich, J. Sorger, J. Popp, A. Bewley, R. Gandour-Edwards, et al., "Autofluorescence lifetime augmented reality as a means for real-time robotic surgery guidance in human patients," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2019.
- 184. B. W. Weyers, M. Marsden, T. Sun, J. Bec, A. F. Bewley, R. F. Gandour-Edwards, M. G. Moore, D. G. Farwell, and L. Marcu, "Fluorescence lifetime imaging for intraoperative cancer delineation in transoral robotic surgery," *Translational Biophotonics*, vol. 1, no. 1-2, p. e201900017, 2019.
- 185. A. Alfonso-Garcia, J. Bec, S. Sridharan Weaver, B. Hartl, J. Unger, M. Bobinski, M. Lechpammer, F. Girgis, J. Boggan, and L. Marcu, "Realtime augmented reality for delineation of surgical margins during neurosurgery using autofluorescence lifetime contrast," *Journal of Biophotonics*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. e201900108, 2020.
- 186. J. Unger, C. Hebisch, J. E. Phipps, J. L. Lagarto, H. Kim, M. A. Darrow, R. J. Bold, and L. Marcu, "Real-time diagnosis and visualization of tumor margins in excised breast specimens using fluorescence lifetime imaging and machine learning," *Biomedical Optics Express*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 1216, 2020.

- 187. A. Taruttis and V. Ntziachristos, "Advances in real-time multispectral optoacoustic imaging and its applications," *Nature Photonics*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 219, 2015.
- 188. A. Taruttis, E. Herzog, D. Razansky, and V. Ntziachristos, "Real-time imaging of cardiovascular dynamics and circulating gold nanorods with multispectral optoacoustic tomography," *Optics Express*, vol. 18, no. 19, pp. 19592–19602, 2010.
- 189. T. Kirchner, F. Sattler, J. Gröhl, and L. Maier-Hein, "Signed real-time delay multiply and sum beamforming for multispectral photoacoustic imaging," *Journal of Imaging*, vol. 4, no. 10, p. 121, 2018.
- 190. J.-M. Yang, K. Maslov, H.-C. Yang, Q. Zhou, K. K. Shung, and L. V. Wang, "Photoacoustic endoscopy," *Optics Letters*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1591–1593, 2009.
- 191. N. C. Hall, S. P. Povoski, J. Zhang, M. V. Knopp, and E. W. Martin Jr, "Use of intraoperative nuclear medicine imaging technology: strategy for improved patient management," *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 149–152, 2013.
- 192. R. A. V. Olmos, S. Vidal-Sicart, and O. E. Nieweg, "Technological innovation in the sentinel node procedure: towards 3-d intraoperative imaging," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1449–1451, 2010.
- 193. S. Heller and P. Zanzonico, "Nuclear probes and intraoperative gamma cameras," in *Seminars in Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 41, pp. 166–181, Elsevier, 2011.
- 194. E. Hanlon, R. Manoharan, T. Koo, K. Shafer, J. Motz, M. Fitzmaurice, J. Kramer, I. Itzkan, R. Dasari, and M. Feld, "Prospects for in vivo raman spectroscopy," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 45, no. 2, p. R1, 2000.
- 195. D. Cialla-May, X.-S. Zheng, K. Weber, and J. Popp, "Recent progress in surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for biological and biomedical applications: from cells to clinics," *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 46, no. 13, pp. 3945–3961, 2017.
- 196. Y. Wang, S. Kang, A. Khan, G. Ruttner, S. Y. Leigh, M. Murray, S. Abeytunge, G. Peterson, M. Rajadhyaksha, S. Dintzis, S. Javid, and J. T. Liu, "Quantitative molecular phenotyping with topically applied sers nanoparticles for intraoperative guidance of breast cancer lumpectomy," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, p. 21242, 2016.
- 197. S. Harmsen, R. Huang, M. A. Wall, H. Karabeber, J. M. Samii, M. Spaliviero, J. R. White, S. Monette, R. O'Connor, K. L. Pitter, S. W. Lowe, R. G. Blasberg, and M. F. Kircher, "Surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering nanostars for high-precision cancer imaging," *Science Translational Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 271, pp. 271ra7–271ra7, 2015.
- 198. M. F. Kircher, A. De La Zerda, J. V. Jokerst, C. L. Zavaleta, P. J. Kempen, E. Mittra, K. Pitter, R. Huang, C. Campos, F. Habte, R. Sinclair, M. I. K. Brennan, Cameron W and, E. C. Holland, and S. S Gambhir, "A brain tumor molecular imaging strategy using a new triplemodality MRI-photoacoustic-Raman nanoparticle," *Nature Medicine*,

vol. 18, no. 5, p. 829, 2012.

- 199. C. M. Tempany, J. Jayender, T. Kapur, R. Bueno, A. Golby, N. Agar, and F. A. Jolesz, "Multimodal imaging for improved diagnosis and treatment of cancers," *Cancer*, vol. 121, no. 6, pp. 817–827, 2015.
- 200. S. Bernhardt, S. A. Nicolau, L. Soler, and C. Doignon, "The status of augmented reality in laparoscopic surgery as of 2016," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 37, pp. 66–90, 2017.
- 201. S. Reiml, T. Kurzendorfer, D. Toth, P. Mountney, S. Steidl, A. Brost, and A. Maier, "Automatic vertebrae segmentation in fluoroscopic images for electrophysiology," in 2017 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference Record (NSS/MIC), 2017.
- 202. C. Nadeau, H. Ren, A. Krupa, and P. Dupont, "Intensity-based visual servoing for instrument and tissue tracking in 3d ultrasound volumes," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 367–371, 2015.
- 203. S. Moccia, S. Foti, A. Routray, F. Prudente, A. Perin, R. F. Sekula, L. S. Mattos, J. R. Balzer, W. Fellows-Mayle, E. De Momi, and C. Riviere, "Toward improving safety in neurosurgery with an active handheld instrument," *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 1450– 1464, 2018.
- 204. S. Moccia, L. S. Mattos, I. Patrini, M. Ruperti, N. Poté, F. Dondero, F. Cauchy, A. Sepulveda, O. Soubrane, E. De Momi, et al., "Computerassisted liver graft steatosis assessment via learning-based texture analysis," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1357–1367, 2018.
- 205. S. Moccia, G. O. Vanone, E. De Momi, A. Laborai, L. Guastini, G. Peretti, and L. S. Mattos, "Learning-based classification of informative laryngoscopic frames," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 158, pp. 21–30, 2018.
- 206. P. Leclerc, C. Ray, L. Mahieu-Williame, L. Alston, C. Frindel, P.-F. Brevet, D. Meyronet, J. Guyotat, B. Montcel, and D. Rousseau, "Machine learning-based prediction of glioma margin from 5-ala induced ppix fluorescence spectroscopy," *Scientific reports*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2020.
- 207. E. Colleoni, S. Moccia, X. Du, E. De Momi, and D. Stoyanov, "Deep learning based robotic tool detection and articulation estimation with spatio-temporal layers," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 2714–2721, 2019.
- 208. P. Zaffino, D. Ciardo, G. Piperno, L. Travaini, S. Comi, A. Ferrari, D. Alterio, B. Jereczek-Fossa, R. Orecchia, G. Baroni, and M. F. Spadea, "Radiotherapy of hodgkin and non-hodgkin lymphoma: A nonrigid imagebased registration method for automatic localization of prechemotherapy gross tumor volume," *Technology in cancer research & treatment*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 355–364, 2016.
- 209. C. E. Cardenas, J. Yang, B. M. Anderson, L. E. Court, and K. B. Brock, "Advances in auto-segmentation," in *Seminars in radiation oncology*, vol. 29, pp. 185–197, Elsevier, 2019.

- 210. P. F. Raudaschl, P. Zaffino, G. C. Sharp, M. F. Spadea, A. Chen, B. M. Dawant, T. Albrecht, T. Gass, C. Langguth, M. Lüthi, F. Jung, O. Knapp, S. Wesarg, R. Mannion-Haworth, M. Bowes, A. Ashman, G. Guillard, A. Brett, G. Vincent, M. Orbes-Arteaga, D. Cardenas-Pena, G. Castellanos-Dominguez, N. Aghdasi, Y. Li, A. Berens, K. Moe, B. Hannaford, R. Schubert, and K. D. Fritscher, "Evaluation of segmentation methods on head and neck ct: auto-segmentation challenge 2015," *Medical physics*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 2020–2036, 2017.
- 211. E. Tappeiner, S. Pröll, M. Hönig, P. F. Raudaschl, P. Zaffino, M. F. Spadea, G. C. Sharp, R. Schubert, and K. Fritscher, "Multi-organ segmentation of the head and neck area: an efficient hierarchical neural networks approach," *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 745–754, 2019.
- 212. S. Moccia, V. Penza, G. O. Vanone, E. De Momi, and L. S. Mattos, "Automatic workflow for narrow-band laryngeal video stitching," in 38th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, pp. 1188–1191, IEEE, 2016.
- 213. P. Patete, M. Riboldi, M. F. Spadea, G. Catanuto, A. Spano, M. Nava, and G. Baroni, "Motion compensation in hand-held laser scanning for surface modeling in plastic and reconstructive surgery," *Annals of biomedical engineering*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 1877–1885, 2009.
- 214. P. Zaffino, G. Pernelle, A. Mastmeyer, A. Mehrtash, H. Zhang, R. Kikinis, T. Kapur, and M. F. Spadea, "Fully automatic catheter segmentation in mri with 3d convolutional neural networks: application to mri-guided gynecologic brachytherapy," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 2019.
- 215. A. Bozzao, A. Romano, A. Angelini, G. D'Andrea, L. F. Calabria, V. Coppola, L. Mastronardi, L. M. Fantozzi, and L. Ferrante, "Identification of the pyramidal tract by neuronavigation based on intraoperative magnetic resonance tractography: correlation with subcortical stimulation," *European Radiology*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 2475–2481, 2010.
- 216. K. L. Hansen, M. M. Pedersen, H. Møller-Sørensen, J. Kjaergaard, J. C. Nilsson, J. T. Lund, J. A. Jensen, and M. B. Nielsen, "Intraoperative cardiac ultrasound examination using vector flow imaging," *Ultrasonic Imaging*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 318–332, 2013.
- 217. C. Tousignant, M. Desmet, R. Bowry, A. M. Harrington, J. D. Cruz, and C. D. Mazer, "Speckle tracking for the intraoperative assessment of right ventricular function: a feasibility study," *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 275–279, 2010.
- 218. T. Suzuki, Y. Sakurai, K. Yoshimitsu, K. Nambu, Y. Muragaki, and H. Iseki, "Intraoperative multichannel audio-visual information recording and automatic surgical phase and incident detection," in *Engineering* in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2010 Annual International Conference of the IEEE, pp. 1190–1193, IEEE, 2010.
- 219. N. Padoy, T. Blum, S.-A. Ahmadi, H. Feussner, M.-O. Berger, and N. Navab, "Statistical modeling and recognition of surgical workflow," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 632–641, 2012.

- 220. J. Uh, T. E. Merchant, Y. Li, X. Li, and C. Hua, "Mri-based treatment planning with pseudo ct generated through atlas registration," *Medical physics*, vol. 41, no. 5, p. 051711, 2014.
- 221. M. F. Spadea, G. Pileggi, P. Zaffino, P. Salome, C. Catana, D. Izquierdo-Garcia, F. Amato, and J. Seco, "Deep convolution neural network (dcnn) multiplane approach to synthetic ct generation from mr images—application in brain proton therapy," *International Journal of Radiation Oncology\* Biology\* Physics*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 495–503, 2019.
- 222. G. Pileggi, C. Speier, G. C. Sharp, D. Izquierdo Garcia, C. Catana, J. Pursley, F. Amato, J. Seco, and M. F. Spadea, "Proton range shift analysis on brain pseudo-ct generated from t1 and t2 mr," *Acta Oncologica*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 1521–1531, 2018.
- 223. A. Thummerer, P. Zaffino, A. Meijers, G. G. Marmitt, J. Seco, R. J. Steenbakkers, J. A. Langendijk, S. Both, M. F. Spadea, and A.-C. Knopf, "Comparison of cbct based synthetic ct methods suitable for proton dose calculations in adaptive proton therapy," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 2020.